arXiv:1201.2535v1 [math.CV] 12 Jan 2012

BRODY'S THEOREM FOR DELIGNE-MUMFORD ANALYTIC STACKS

SIMONE BORGHESI E GIUSEPPE TOMASSINI

Dedicated to Franco Bassani

Abstract. The classical Brody's theorem asserts the equivalence between two notions of hyperbolicity for compact complex spaces, one named after Kobayashi and one expressed in terms of lack of non constant holomorphic entire functions (compactness is only used to prove the harder implication). We extend this theorem to Deligne-Mumford analytic stacks, by first providing definitions of what we think of Kobayashi and Brody hyperbolicity for such objects and then proving the equivalence of these concepts under an assumption of compactness.

Contents

1. Introduction 2
2. Preliminaries 4
2.1. Notation and definitions 4
2.2. Simplicial presheaves and groupoids 4
2.3. Analytic stacks 7
3. Simplicial parabolic holotopy presheaves 9
3.1. Descent data and simplicial parabolic holotopy presheaves 10
4. Hyperbolicity 13
4.1. Brody hyperbolicity 13
4.2. Kobayashi hyperbolicity 15
5. The auxiliary quotient space 17
5.1. Existence of the complex structure 19
6. Topological and metric structures 23
6.1. Distances 23
6.2. The function $c(\mathcal{Y}, U)$ associated to a relative analytic disk 27
7. Kobayashi hyperbolicity implies Brody hyperbolicity 29
8. Compactness and Brody hyperbolicity imply Kobayashi hyperbolicity 31
8.1. Case 1 32
8.2. Case 2 35

Date: January 13, 2012.

8.3. Case 3 36
References 38

1. Introduction

A classical notion introduced by Kobayashi is the one of a pseudodistance associated with any complex space, which behaves as a contraction with respect to holomorphic maps [10]. In particular, this pseudodistance is a biholomorphic invariant. Hyperbolic complex spaces are precisely those for which this pseudodistance is, in fact, a distance. The pseudodistance is expressed in terms of holomorphic maps from the unit disk of $\mathbb{C}$ to the complex space and the Poincaré metric on the unit disk itself. An important theorem of Brody [3] states that a compact complex space is hyperbolic if the only holomorphic maps from $\mathbb{C}$ to it are constant. Since the opposite implication follows in full generality by the contracting property of the pseudodistance, we conclude that a compact complex space is Kobayashi hyperbolic if and only if it is Brody hyperbolic. For the basic results in hyperbolicity, implications and conjectures in complex geometry we refer to [10]. A nice survey on some of the most important and recent results is in [4].

For the time being, we will use the terminology just introducted in statement of the previous result: a *Kobayashi hyperbolic space* is what we referred to as a hyperbolic complex space, whereas a *Brody hyperbolic space* is a complex space not admitting nonconstant holomorphic maps from $\mathbb{C}$.

The purpose of this paper is to extend this result to *analytic stacks*. These objects generalize complex spaces and their existence can be motivated by the need of using algebraic and geometric techniques on objects which lack a scheme or complex space structure, such as moduli spaces or by keeping track of an higher level of information attached to certain objects, like quotients by actions of Lie groups. At the present state of knowledge, we necessarily have to begin by proposing the analogue notions of Kobayashi and Brody hyperbolicity. We emphasize that such notions ought at least to generalize the known ones for complex spaces and be categorical equivalence invariant (thus presentation invariant). The classical Brody hyperbolicity condition for a complex space Y, summarized in the bijectivity of $p^* : \mathsf{Hom}_{\mathrm{holo}}(X, Y) \to \mathsf{Hom}_{\mathrm{holo}}(\mathbb{C} \times X, Y)$ for all complex spaces X where $p : \mathbb{C} \times X \to X$, can be extended to groupoids $\mathcal{G}$ in two ways by requiring the bijectivity of $p^* : \mathsf{Hom}_{\mathrm{Grp}/\mathcal{S}}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{G}) \to \mathsf{Hom}_{\mathrm{Grp}/\mathcal{S}}(\mathbb{C} \times \mathcal{X}, \mathcal{G})$ for all complex spaces $\mathcal{X}$ or for all groupoids $\mathcal{X}$. In analogy with the classical definition we choose the latter; this explains why both the zeroth and first holotopy group (cfr. Definition 3.1) are both involved in the Brody hyperbolicity condition thus the same had to hold for the Kobayashi hyperbolicity. Contraddicting Brody hyperbolicity of an algebraic stack provides a way to showing the existence of $\mathbb{C}$ parametrized families of objects in the moduli problem associated with the stack.

Several definitions of Kobayashi hyperbolicity have been considered for presheaves; the one we decided to use (see Definition 4.6) preserves the metric "flavour" of the classical notion, and it is based on *analytic disks and chains.* It is related to Brody hyperbolicity by means of the main Theorem 8.1.

The exposition of the manuscript begins in a greater generality by dealing with $\mathcal{S}$-groupoids and unfolds by progressively adding supplementary assumptions to the studied objects, when necessary, and restricting to Deligne-Mumford analytical stacks for the proof of Brody's theorem. In the first two sections we list the necessary homotopical results for meaningfully state the hyperbolicity definitions. Some of the notions provided in the paper [2] in terms of simplicial sheaves can be effectively expressed in the category of groupoids by means of the work done in [6] and [8]. In Section 3we recall the definition of holotopy presheaves in this contex and describe the lowest degrees of them in terms of local descent data. The Section 4 is devoted to the two notions of hyperbolicity. Already in the paper [2] we have introduced the concept of Brody hyperbolicity for simplicial presheaves of sets over the site of complex spaces with the strong topology. The work in [6] enables to use this definition in the context of $\mathcal{S}$-groupoids and this led us to the Definition 4.2. With some more work, we rephrased this definition in terms of *presheaves of holotopy groups* of the groupoid: Definition 4.4. In this form, the categorical equivalence invariance of Brody iperbolicity is evident as well as the fact that it extends the same classical property for complex spaces. The higher order information contained in a $\mathcal{S}$-groupoid, the isomorphisms, appear in this notion of hyperbolicity as the (isomorphism classes of) objects.

The proof of the Brody theorem mixes techniques from abstract homotopy theory and complex variable, the interplay of these seemingly different fields being possible by reducing many crucial arguments to a particularly nice model, which we denote $\mathcal{C}[X.]$, associated to an analytic stack $X \to \mathcal{Y}$. Associated to it there is a set $Q(\mathcal{Y})$ whose elements are equivalence classes of an equivalence relation on X. The geometrization of this set is proved in Section 5 and is a key step to make all the parts of the manuscript work together. The algebraization of the colimit of an analogue diagram derived from algebraic stacks has been an investigated topic (e.g. [9]).

In Section 6 we introduce the metric invariants of an analytic stack necessary to bridge the Kobayashi hyperbolicity with Brody hyperbolicity.

The last two sections prove each an implication involved in the equivalence of the two hyperbolicity notions. The one that classically is almost straightforward here presents the difficulty of determining two different admissible sections in π_0^{simpl}. The last section finally proved the analogue of the Brody Theorem which extensively uses the complex space structure of $Q(\mathcal{Y})$ and its properties.

Some ideas appearing in this paper are the result of discussions with Gabriele Vezzosi, whom we wish to thank.

2. Preliminaries

2.1. Notation and definitions.

- $\mathcal{S}_T$ is the analytic site: the category $\mathcal{S}$ whose objects are complex spaces and coverings those induced by the strong topology.
- Grp is the category of (set theoretic) groupoids and Grp$/\mathcal{S}$ the category of $\mathcal{S}$-groupoids, $\mathcal{S}$ a category, whose objects are categories fibered in groupoids. By Psh(Grp) we will denote the category of presheaves of set-theoretic groupoids. A *stack* is an $\mathcal{S}$-groupoid satisying two supplementary conditions (see [11, Definition 3.1]).
- $\Delta^{op}\mathsf{Prsh}_T(\mathcal{S})_J$ is the category of simplicial presheaves of sets on the site $\mathcal{S}_T$ with a topology T and endowed by *local, injective, simplicial model structure* on $\Delta^{op}\mathsf{Prsh}_T(\mathcal{S})$ (cfr. Joyal's model structure, [8, Section 5.1]). $\mathcal{H}_s$ (respectively $\mathcal{H}_{s\bullet}$) is the homotopy category (respectively the pointed homotopy category) associated.
- Let $\mathcal{X}$ be a groupoid. Then $c_{\mathcal{X}} : \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{C}\mathcal{X}$ is its stackification (cfr. [11, Lemma 3.2 and Observation 3.2.1 (3)]).
- The morphisms ∂_0 and ∂_1 will denote the face morphisms of a simplicial presheaf $\mathcal{X}.$ or, more frequently, of groupoids $[X.]$ and $\mathcal{C}[X.]$ from the presheaf in degree 1 to presheaf.
- An *analytic stack* is a diagram $p : X \to \mathcal{Y}$ comprising a complex space $X = \amalg_{i=1}^{+\infty} X^{(i)}$, where the ${X^{(i)}}'^{\mathrm{s}}$ are connected complex spaces, and a stack $\mathcal{Y}$ over the analytic site $\mathcal{S}_T$, such that the diagonal $\Delta : \mathcal{Y} \to \mathcal{Y}\times\mathcal{Y}$ is representable, separated, quasi compact and with p smooth and surjective. The morphism $p : X \to \mathcal{Y}$ is also called a *presentation* of the stack $\mathcal{Y}$ and X an *atlas*.

2.2. Simplicial presheaves and groupoids. Before being able to state what we think of as a (differently flavoured) hyperbolic groupoid, we will expose the connection between simplicial presheaves and groupoids, by stating the relevant results contained in the manuscript [6]. The concept of Brody hyperbolicity, in particular, is directly transposed from simplicial presheaves. While the concept of groupoids in terms of categories fibered in set-theoretic groupoids ($\mathcal{S}$-groupoids) probably goes back to ideas of Grothendieck, only recently these objects have been related to the homotopy theory of simplicial presheaves of sets.

In the paper [2] we dealt with simplicial presheaves of sets on the analytic site, i.e. the category $\Delta^{op}\mathsf{Prsh}_T(\mathcal{S})$ whose objects are complex spaces and coverings given by the strong topology on them. That category has been considered a model category by means of the Joyal injective *local* simplicial structure. Here the word "local", as opposed to "global", refers to the fact that the weak equivalences are morphisms inducing simplicial sets weak equivalences on the stalks of the presheaves, as opposed to weak equivalences on simplicial sets of sections of the simplicial presheaves. After having localized this category with respect of such model structure, we defined Brody hyperbolic simplicial presheaves (cfr. [2, Section 3.1]). We want to relate these objects to groupoids in general and stacks in particular.

Such a relation is spread out in the papers [8] and [6]. We list here their results which are relevant to this manuscript. The starting point is Corollary 4.3 in [8] which claims the existence of an adjunction between the categories $\mathsf{Prsh}(\mathrm{Grp})$ and $\mathrm{Grp}/\mathcal{S}$. Endowing each of appropriate *global* model structures ([8, Proposition 4.1 and Theorem 4.2]) we furthermore have that the adjoint functors are a Quillen equivalence. Here "global" means that the weak equivalences are meant to be objectwise (respectively fiberwise) weak equivalences. These two categories are not directly related to $\mathsf{Prsh}_T(\mathcal{S})$, but a certain localization of them are. Keeping the same notation as the references, we let S to be the set of maps

$$S = \{p_U : \operatorname{hocolim} U. \to X : \amalg_i U_i = U \to X \text{ is a cover for the strong topology}\} \tag{1}$$

where hocolim is the homotopy colimit of the diagram $U. = \{\cdots U \times_X U \rightrightarrows U\}$. By using Bousfield localization theory, it can be shown that there are model structures on $\mathsf{Prsh}(\mathrm{Grp})$ and $\mathrm{Grp}/\mathcal{S}$ whose homotopy category is the localization with respect of S ([8, Proposition 4.4]). These model structures are called *local* for a reason that will become clear later and to explicit this model structure we will add L as a subscript. It follows that the aforementioned adjoint pair of functors, induces a Quillen equivalence $\mathsf{Prsh}(\mathrm{Grp})_L \leftrightarrows (\mathrm{Grp}/\mathcal{S})_L$ ([8, Corollary 4.5]). In the paper [6] the model structure on $\Delta^{op}\mathsf{Prsh}_T(\mathcal{S})$ is reinterpreted through the Bousfield localization with respect to the maps in S: by [6, Theorem 6.2], we know that it localized category is equivalent to the homotopy category $\mathcal{H}_s$. Thus, to relate $\Delta^{op}\mathsf{Prsh}_T(\mathcal{S})_J$ to $(\mathrm{Grp}/\mathcal{S})_L$, it suffices to relate $\Delta^{op}\mathsf{Prsh}_T(\mathcal{S})_L$ to $\mathsf{Prsh}(\mathrm{Grp})_L$. Again, there is an adjunction (π_{oid}, N)

$$\pi_{oid} : \Delta^{op}\mathsf{Prsh}_T(\mathcal{S}) \leftrightarrows \mathsf{Prsh}(\mathrm{Grp}) : N$$

defined as follows: π_{oid} is the functor which sends a simplicial presheaf $F.$ to the $\mathcal{S}$-groupoid having $F_0(U)$ as objects, for each complex space U, and $\mathsf{Hom}(a, b)$ is the set of $\phi \in F_1(U)$ such that $\partial_0(\phi) = a$ and $\partial_1(\phi) = b$. To an $\mathcal{S}$-groupoid $\mathcal{G}$, the functor N associates the simplicial presheaf $N\mathcal{G}$ with $(N\mathcal{G})_0 = \mathsf{Ob}(\mathcal{G})$, $(N\mathcal{G})_1 = \mathsf{Mor}(\mathcal{G})$ and

$(N\mathcal{G})_i = (N\mathcal{G})_1 \times_{(N\mathcal{G})_0} \overset{i}{\cdots} \times_{(N\mathcal{G})_0} (N\mathcal{G})_1$ with the following structural face morphisms: $\partial_0, \partial_1 : (N\mathcal{G})_1 \to (N\mathcal{G})_0$ are the domain and codomain of the isomorphism, respectively; the three morphisms $(N\mathcal{G})_2 \to (N\mathcal{G}_1)$ send (f, g) respectively in f, $g \circ f$ e g; in the general case an n-tuple of composable isomorphisms are sent to $(n-1)$-subtuples involving individual isomorphisms and compositions of them, when appropriate. The degenerations are induced by alternatively adding the identity morphism. (π_{oid}, N) is a Quillen pair and the following holds (cfr. [8, Theorem 5.4]):

Theorem 2.1. *The Quillen adjoint pair* (π_{iod}, N) *induces a Quillen equivalence between* $(\mathbb{S}^2)^{-1}\Delta^{op}\mathsf{Prsh}_T(\mathcal{S})_L$*, the* $\mathbb{S}^2$ *nullification of* $\Delta^{op}\mathsf{Prsh}_T(\mathcal{S})_L$*, and* $\mathsf{Prsh}(\mathrm{Grp})_L$*.*

To trace this chain of equivalences back to the category $\Delta^{op}\mathsf{Prsh}_T(\mathcal{S})_J$ equipped with the Joyal simplicial model structure, we finally need to use results in the [6] which imply that $\Delta^{op}\mathsf{Prsh}_T(\mathcal{S})_J$ and $\Delta^{op}\mathsf{Prsh}_T(\mathcal{S})_L$ are Quillen equivalent. The previous considerations and this theorem prove

Corollary 2.2. *The adjoint pair* (π_{oid}, N) *induces a Quillen equivalence between the categories* $(\mathbb{S}^2)^{-1}\Delta^{op}\mathsf{Prsh}_T(\mathcal{S})_J$ *and* $(\mathrm{Grp}/\mathcal{S})_L$*.*

In order to see how this relates to (analytic) stacks we need to invoke sharper results. Theorem 1.1 (see also Theorem 3.9) of [8] states that a groupoid $\mathcal{F}$, seen as a presheaf of groupoids, is a stack if and only if, for any covering $\amalg_i U_i = U \to X$, the canonical morphism

$$\mathcal{F}(X) \to \mathrm{holim}_n \Big\{ \prod \mathcal{F}(U_i) \rightrightarrows \prod \mathcal{F}(U_{ij}) \Rrightarrow \prod \mathcal{F}(U_{ijk}) \cdots \Big\}$$

is an equivalence of categories for each complex space X, where $U_{i_1,\cdots,i_k}$ stands for $U_{i_1} \times \cdots \times U_{i_k}$. On the other hand, since the Bousfield $\mathcal{S}$-localizing structure on $\mathsf{Prsh}(\mathrm{Grp})$ relies on an underlying *global* (meaning weak equivalences and fibrations are objectwise) model structure, we deduce that the $\mathcal{S}$-fibrant objects are precisely those presheaves of groupoids $\mathcal{F}$ that are

- objectwise fibrant, i.e. all since a set theoretic groupoid is simplicially fibrant if seen as simplcial set (by means of the functor N, precedently described) and
- such that the canonical morphism
  $$\mathcal{F}(X) \to \mathrm{holim}_n \Big\{ \prod \mathcal{F}(U_i) \rightrightarrows \prod \mathcal{F}(U_{ij}) \Rrightarrow \prod \mathcal{F}(U_{ijk}) \cdots \Big\}$$
  is a weak equivalence of set theoretic groupoids.

Because of Theorem 2.1, the functor π_{oid} sends $\mathcal{S}$-fibrant presheaves of groupoids to $\mathcal{S}$-fibrant simplicial presheaves and by [6, Theorem 1.1] such simplicial presheaves are precisely those that are fibrant according to the Joyal simplicial model structure on $\Delta^{op}\mathsf{Prsh}_T(\mathcal{S})$. This leads to

Theorem 2.3. *The chain of Quillen equivalences between* $\mathrm{Grp}/\mathcal{S}$ *and* $(\mathbb{S}^2)^{-1}\Delta^{op}\mathsf{Prsh}_T(\mathcal{S})_J$ *induces an isomorphism between the (full) subcategories of stacks and fibrant simplicial presheaves.*

2.3. **Analytic stacks.** Let $\mathcal{P}$ be a presheaf of grupoids and $F : \mathcal{P} \to \mathcal{G}$ be a 1-morphism (functor) to a groupoid $\mathcal{G}$. We build a groupoid out of it, denoted by $[P.]$. Its objects over a complex space U are the sections in $\mathcal{P}(U)$ and $\mathsf{Hom}_{[P.](U)}(f, g)$ are the sections $\phi \in \mathcal{P}_1(U) := \mathcal{P}(U) \times_{\mathcal{Y}} \mathcal{P}(U)$ such that $\partial_0(\phi) = f$ and $\partial_1(\phi) = g$, where $\partial_i : \mathcal{P}_1 \to \mathcal{P}$, for $i = 0, 1$, are the projections on the factors, and the fiber product is taken in the category of groupoids. The remaining structure making $[X.]$ a groupoid is inherited by the one of $\mathcal{G}$ and it explained in (2.4.3) and [11, Proposition 3.8].

Remark 2.1.

1) If $X \to \mathcal{Y}$ is an analytic stack (see Subsection 2.1), then the objects of $[X.]$ over a complex space U are the holomorphic maps $U \to X$ and $\partial_i : X_1 \to X$ are holomorphic maps between complex spaces.
2) Our notation is slightly different from the one in [11, 2.4.3]: the groupoid $[X.]$ is denoted as $[X.]'$ there. Moreover, through this manuscript, we will identify the $\mathcal{S}$*-espace en groupoïdes* and its associated groupoid.

We recall the following general result

Proposition 2.4. *(cfr.* [11, Prop. 3.8]*) Let* $F : \mathcal{P} \to \mathcal{Y}$ *be a morphism (functor) between a presheaf and a stack. Then the canonical morphism (functor)* $[\mathcal{P}.] \to \mathcal{Y}$ *is a monomorphism and is epi if and only if* F *is.*

In the particular case $p : X \to \mathcal{Y}$ is an analytic stack, we get a simplicial complex space $[X.]$ such that

$$X \times_{\mathcal{Y}} \times \overset{i+1}{\cdots} \times_{\mathcal{Y}} X = X_i = X_1 \times_{\partial_0, X, \partial_1} \overset{i}{\cdots} \times_{\partial_0, X, \partial_1} X_1.$$

$[X.]$ is a *prestack*, as explained in the example [11, 3.4.3] and is precisely $N([\mathcal{P}.])$ with $\mathcal{P} = X$. To simplify the notation, we will drop the letter N and consider $[X.]$ indifferently as a $\mathcal{S}$-groupoid or a simplicial complex space, according to the needed properties. Notice that the stackification functor $[X.] \to \mathcal{C}[X.]$ corresponds to a fibrant resolution of simplicial presheaves. We conclude that

Proposition 2.5. *Let* $p : X \to \mathcal{Y}$ *be a analytic stack. Then* p *induces a groupoid equivalence* $p : [X.] \to \mathcal{Y}$ *and a stack equivalence* $\mathcal{C}[X.] \to \mathcal{Y}$*.*

An immediate consequence of this proposition is that, to work with a simplicial homotopy invariant, we can indifferently use any presentations and atlases of $\mathcal{Y}$:

Corollary 2.6. *Let $X, Z \to \mathcal{Y}$ be two presentations of an analytic stack. Then $[X.]$ and $[Z.]$ are equivalent groupoids and $\mathcal{C}[X.]$ and $\mathcal{C}[Z.]$ are equivalent stacks.*

Digression 2.7. For future reference, we write in detail the product structure that $[X.]$ inherits from the presentation $p : X \to \mathcal{Y}$ of an algebraic stack. By definition of fibered product in the category of groupoids, an element of the complex space $X_1 = X \times_{\mathcal{Y}} X$ is a triple $\underline{a} = (a_1, a_2, \alpha)$, where $a_1, a_2 \in X$ and $\alpha : p(a_1) \overset{\cong}{\to} p(a_2)$ is an isomorphism. Notice that there is a canonical holomorphic map $e : X \to X_1$, the one sending $a \in X$ to $(a, a, \mathrm{id}_a) \in X_1$. Let ∂_0 and ∂_1

$$X \times_{\mathcal{Y}} X \underset{\partial_1}{\overset{\partial_0}{\rightrightarrows}} X \tag{2}$$

be the holomorphic maps involved in the definition of fiber products, i.e. making the following diagram commutative

$$\begin{tikzcd}
X \times_{\mathcal{Y}} X \arrow[r, "\partial_0"] \arrow[d, "\partial_1"] & X \arrow[d, "p"] \\
X \arrow[r, "p"] & \mathcal{Y}.
\end{tikzcd} \tag{3}$$

The multiplication m is the holomorphic map associated to the pair $(\partial_0 \circ pr_1, \partial_1 \circ pr_2)$ and whose existence is consequence of the universality of fiber products:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
X_1 \times_X X_1 \arrow[dr, dotted, "m"] \arrow[drr, bend left, "\partial_1 \circ pr_2"] \arrow[ddr, bend right, "\partial_0 \circ pr_1"'] & & \\
& X \times_{\mathcal{Y}} X \arrow[r, "\partial_1"'] \arrow[d, "\partial_0"] & X \arrow[d, "p"] \\
& X \arrow[r, "p"] & \mathcal{Y}.
\end{tikzcd} \tag{4}$$

m is explicitely descibed as follows: if a is as before and $b = (b_1, b_2, \beta)$ is another point of X_1, then $m(a, b) = (a_1, b_2, \beta \circ \alpha)$. By the commutativity of the previous diagram, we have $(\partial_0 \circ m)(a, b) = a_1$ and $(\partial_1 \circ m)(a, b) = b_2$. m is an étale holomorphic map if p is: in the commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
X_1 \times_X X_1 \arrow[r, "pr_1"] \arrow[d, "pr_2"] & X_1 \arrow[d, "\partial_0"] \\
X_1 \arrow[r, "\partial_1"] & X
\end{tikzcd}$$

we have that pr_i are étale , hence $\partial_0 \circ pr_1$ is étale and from the commutativity of the diagram (4), we conclude that m is étale .

3. SIMPLICIAL PARABOLIC HOLOTOPY PRESHEAVES

The notion of Brody hyperbolicity which we will introduce in Section 4 will be rephrased in terms of *simplicial parabolic holotopy presheaves.* It turns out that this is a very convenient way to work in practice with these seemingly abstract definitions. Those holotopy presheaves completely determine the *global* simplicial homotopy class of a groupoid, and since local simplicial weak equivalences between locally fibrant simplicial presheaves coincide with global simplicial weak equivalences, holotopy presheaves completely determine equivalences of stacks. In other words, a functor $F : \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{Y}$ is an equivalence between stacks if and only if F_* induces isomorphisms between all holotopy presheaves of $\mathcal{X}$ and $\mathcal{Y}$.

We recall that the *parabolic n-th dimensional circle* is the simplicial set $\mathsf{S}^1_s := \Delta^1/\partial\Delta^1$, where Δ^1 is the standard 1-dimensional simplex, seen as constant presheaf in the analytic site. As usual, in what follows, we let $\wedge$ be the monoidal structure in $\Delta^{op}\mathsf{Prsh}_T(\mathcal{S})$ and $\mathsf{S}^n_s := \mathsf{S}^1_s \wedge \overset{n}{\cdots} \wedge \mathsf{S}^1_s$.

Definition 3.1. 1) *For any complex space U and simplicial presheaf $\mathcal{X}$, we set*

$$\pi_i^{simpl}(\mathcal{X}, x)(U) \overset{def}{=} \mathsf{Hom}_{\mathcal{H}_{s\bullet}}(\mathsf{S}^i_s \wedge U_+, (\mathcal{X}, x))$$

2) *For any groupoid $\mathcal{G}$ and $U \in \mathcal{S}_T$ we set $\pi_i(\mathcal{G}, g)(U) = \pi_i^{simpl}(N\mathcal{G}, g)(U)$.*

By definition, the presheaves π_i^{simpl} induce isomorphisms if applied to local and global weak equivalences or groupoid equivalences. We know already how to compute most of these presheaves for groupoids $\mathcal{G}$: because of Theorem 2.1, $\pi_i^{\text{simpl}}(\mathcal{G}, g)$ are constant to 0 for all i greater or equal to 2. In general, it is extremely hard to compute π^{simpl} of groupoids if $i = 0, 1$. The following result shows that, in the case the groupoid is a stack, these presheaves are related to some combinatorial data of the stack:

Proposition 3.2. *Let $\mathcal{Z}$ be a locally fibrant simplicial presheaf. Denote ∂_I the composition $\partial_{i_1} \circ \cdots \circ \partial_{i_n}$ for a multiindex $I = (i_1, \cdots, i_n)$, where $\partial_{i_j} : \mathcal{Z}_j \to \mathcal{Z}_{j-1}$ are face morphisms. Then, $\pi_n^{simpl}(\mathcal{Z}, z)(U)$ is the quotient set $A_n(U)/\sim$ where*

1) $A_n(U) = \{f : U \to \mathcal{Z}_n \text{ such that } \partial_I \circ f = \partial_J \circ f\ ,\ \forall I \neq J \text{ of length } n\}$
2) *$\sim$ is the equivalence relation generated by $f \sim g$ if it exists an $H : U \to \mathcal{Z}_1$ such that $\partial_0 \circ H = \partial_I \circ f$ e $\partial_1 \circ H = \partial_I \circ g$.*

Proof. One can get the given description of A_n by explicitely writing down the simplicial set S^n_s and considering simplicial morphisms from S^n_s. The fact that π_n^{simpl} is a quotient set of A_n follows by the fibrancy assumption and the equivalence relation translate the conditions coming from the usual simplicial cylinder object to express simplicial homotopies between moprhisms. □

Remark 3.1. In particular, for an analytic stack $\mathcal{Z}$

$$\pi_0^{\mathrm{simpl}}(\mathcal{Z}, z)(U) = \{f : U \to \mathcal{Z}_0\}/\sim$$

with $f \sim g$ if there exists a morphism $H : U \to \mathcal{Z}_1$ such that $\partial_0 \circ H = f$ and $\partial_1 \circ H = g$. Defining π_0^{simpl} this way for general groupoids, would result in a non (simplicial, local) homotopy equivalence presheaf.

3.1. **Descent data and simplicial parabolic holotopy presheaves.** In the previous subsection we have observed that the only holotopy presheaves of a groupoid that are relevant are in degree zero and one. Moreover, if the groupoid is a stack $\mathcal{Y}$, the sections of these presheaves are expressible in terms of sections in the presheaves $\mathsf{Ob}(\mathcal{Y})$ and $\mathsf{Mor}(\mathcal{Y})$, or, more precisely, of the sections of $(N\mathcal{Y})_0$ and $(N\mathcal{Y})_1$ since $\pi_i^{\mathrm{simpl}}(\mathcal{Y}, x)(U) = \pi_i^{\mathrm{simpl}}(N\mathcal{Y}, x)(U)$ by Definition 3.1.

Proposition 3.3. *Let $X \to \mathcal{Y}$ be an analytic stack. Then*

$$\pi_i^{simpl}(\mathcal{Y}, y) \cong \pi_i^{simpl}([X.], x) \cong \pi_i^{simpl}(\mathcal{C}[X.], x)$$

Proof. Use Proposition 2.5. □

In view of these isomorphisms, for any simplicially homotopy invariant considerations about $\mathcal{Y}$, we will replace $\mathcal{Y}$ with $\mathcal{C}[X.]$ for some appropriate choice of atlas X of $\mathcal{Y}$. Because of the relevance of the concept in the sequel, we explicitely recall

Definition 3.4. *Let $\mathcal{G}$ be a groupoid, U a complex space and $\mathcal{U} = \{U_i\}_i$ a covering of U for the strong topology. Then,*

1) *A* descent datum *relative to $\mathcal{U}$ in $\mathcal{G}$ is a pair $((A_i), (h_{ij}))$, also denoted (A_i, h_{ij}) with: A_i objects of $\mathcal{G}(U_i)$ and $h_{ij} : A_i|_{U_{ij}} \to A_j|_{U_{ij}}$ isomorphisms, called* transition morphisms *satisying the* cocycle condition*: $h_{jk} \circ h_{ij} = h_{ik}$ on U_{ijk}. As always, U_{ij} and U_{ijk} stand for the double and triple intersections of the indixed complex spaces. The set of descent data will be denoted by $\mathsf{Dis}_{\mathcal{G}}(\mathcal{U})$.*
2) *A* descent data morphism *between (A_i, h_{ij}) and (B_i, g_{ij}) in $\mathcal{G}$ and relative to a covering $\mathcal{U}$ is a collection of isomorphisms $\{\phi_i : A_i \to B_i\}$ respecting the relation $g_{ij} \circ \phi_i = \phi_j \circ f_{ij}$ on U_{ij} for all i, j.*

Remark 3.2. In a covering $\mathcal{U}$ associated to a descent datum we will possibly allow $U_i = U_j$ for $i \neq j$.

Given a complex space U, we will denote by $\operatorname{Cov} U$ the set of all the countable, locally finite coverings such that $U_i \Subset U$ for all i. Any covering of U can be refined to one in $\operatorname{Cov} U$. This set is filtering with respect to the relation $\mathcal{U} \preceq \mathcal{U}'$ if $\mathcal{U}' = \{U_i\}_i$ is finer than $\mathcal{U}$ and $U'_i \Subset U_{\tau(i)}$, if $\tau : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ is the refining function.

Consider a refinement $\mathcal{U} \preceq \mathcal{U}'$ of two coverings of U. There is a correspondence $r_{\mathcal{U},\mathcal{U}'} : \mathsf{Dis}_{\mathcal{G}}(\mathcal{U}) \to \mathsf{Dis}_{\mathcal{G}}(\mathcal{U}')$ which associates to a descent datum (A_i, h_{ij}) the datum $(A_i|_{U'_i}, h'_{rs})$, where the new transition morphisms h'_{rs} on the double intersections U'_{rs} of complex spaces contained in U_i are defined as the identity: $A_i|_{U'_{rs}} \to A_i|_{U'_{rs}}$. The same can be said for a descent data morphism $\phi = \{\phi_i\}_i$ between a and b: it induces a morphism $r_{\mathcal{U},\mathcal{U}'}(a) \to r_{\mathcal{U},\mathcal{U}'}(b)$ in a unique way. Thus we have the following

Lemma 3.5. *Let $X \to \mathcal{Y}$ be an analytic stack. The stack $\mathcal{C}[X.]$ associated to the groupoid $[X.]$ is explicitely described by the following:*

1) $\mathsf{Ob}(\mathcal{C}[X.])(U) = \varinjlim_{\mathcal{U} \in Cov\, U} \mathsf{Dis}_{[X.]}(\mathcal{U})$;
2) *let r and s be two objects rapresented by descent data r and s, and defined on the same covering $\mathcal{U}$ (this is not restrictive). Then*

$$\mathsf{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}[X.]}(\mathrm{r}, \mathrm{s}) = \big\{\text{descent data (iso)morphisms } r \to s\big\}$$

(see Definition 3.4).

Remark 3.3. In [11, Lemma 3.2] a different description of the stack associated to a prestack is given[1]. In particular the objects are simply descent data unidentified in the direct limit. We think this is not a useful definition as the following example shows: let $\mathcal{Y} = Y$ be a complex space and $X \to \mathcal{Y}$ be a presentation with $X = \amalg_i B_i$, B_i non trivial open subspaces. Then the canonical morphism $\mathcal{C}[X.] \to \mathcal{Y}$ is a (presheaf) isomorphism, since the only isomorphisms in $\mathsf{Mor}\, \mathcal{C}[X.]$ are the identity morphisms. The objects of $\mathcal{C}[X.]$ over U are the sections $\mathcal{Y}(U)$, thus they must be all the holomorphic maps $U \to Y$. We do have a canonical surjective correspondence from the descent data over U to holomorphic maps $U \to Y$, but this is not injective, factoring precisely through the relation defining the direct limit over the coverings of U.

The notion of descent datum given in Definition 3.4 may be now given in terms of holomorphic maps. Given a covering $\{U_i\}_i = \mathcal{U} \in \mathrm{Cov}\, U$, a descent datum r (on U) in X relative to the covering $\mathcal{U}$ is a pair $((r_i : U_i \to X)_i, (f_{ij} : U_{ij} \to X_1)_{ij})$ with r_i and f_{ij} holomorphic maps such that:

($\star$) $r_{i|U_{ij}} = \partial_0 \circ f_{ij}$, $r_{j|U_{ij}} = \partial_1 \circ f_{ij}$ su U_{ij};

($\star\star$) f_{ij}: $m(f_{ij} \times f_{jk}) = f_{ik}$ su U_{ijk} (cocycle relation)

and, like before,

$$\varinjlim_{\mathcal{U} \in \mathrm{Cov}\, U} \Big\{\big((r_i : U_i \to X)_i, (f_{ij} : U_{ij} \to X_1)_{ij}\big)\Big\} = \varinjlim_{\mathcal{U} \in \mathrm{Cov}\, U} \mathsf{Dis}_{[X.]}(\mathcal{U}) = \mathsf{Ob}(\mathcal{C}[X.])(U)$$

We are ready now to describe the zeroth and first holotopy presheaves of an analytic stack by means of the complex structure of any of its atlases:

---

[1] the definition of the morphism g' is misprinted

Theorem 3.6. *Let $p : X \to \mathcal{Y}$ be an analytic stack and U a complex space. Then*

$$\pi_0(\mathcal{Y}, y)(U) \cong \varinjlim_{\mathcal{U} \in \mathrm{Cov}\, U} \Big\{ \big((r_i : U_i \to X)_i, (f_{ij} : U_{ij} \to X_1)_{ij} \big) \Big\} / \sim_0$$

where $\sim_0$ is the equivalence relation generated by $(r_i, f_{ij}) \sim_0 (s_i, g_{ij})$ if and only if there exist holomorphic maps $\phi_i : U_i \to X_1$ such that

1) *$\partial_0 \circ \phi_i = s_i$ e $\partial_1 \circ \phi_i = r_i$ for all i;*
2) *$m(f_{ij} \times \phi_j) = m(\phi_i \times g_{ij})$.*

An isomorphism ϕ between two descent data $r = (r_i, f_{ij})$, $s = (s_i, g_{ij})$ is a collection of holomorphic maps $\phi_i : U_i \to X_1$ such that

1′) $\partial_0 \circ \phi_i = r_i$ and $\partial_1 \circ \phi_i = s_i$ for all i;
2′) $m(f_{ij}, \phi_j) = m(\phi_i, g_{ij})$ for all i, j.

Each collection $\{\phi_i\}_i$ determines a class in the filtered colimit, over the coverings $\mathcal{U}$ of U, of isomorphisms between the descent data r and s. Representatives of sections of $\pi_1^{\mathrm{simpl}}(\mathcal{Y}, y)(U)$ are (classes of) automorphisms $(\phi)_i$ of r, for r ranging in $\varinjlim_{\mathcal{U} \in \mathrm{Cov}\, U} \mathsf{Dis}_{[X.]}(\mathcal{U})$.

Theorem 3.7. *Let $p : X \to \mathcal{Y}$ an analytic stack and U be a complex space. Then,*

$$\pi_1(\mathcal{Y}, y)(U) \cong \varinjlim_{\mathcal{U} \in \mathrm{Cov}\, U} (\phi_i)_i / \sim_1$$

where $\phi = (\phi_i)_i$ is an automorphism of a descent datum r in $[X.]$ relative to $\mathcal{U}$. If ϕ e ψ are automorphisms of descent data r and s, respectively, relative to $\mathcal{U} = \{U_i\}_i$, then $\sim_1$ is the equivalence relation generated by $\phi \sim_1 \psi$ if and only if there exists and isomorphism between $\partial_0 \circ \phi = s$ and $\partial_0 \circ \psi = r$, i.e. holomophic maps $H_i : U_i \to X \times_{\mathcal{Y}} X$ such that $\partial_0 \circ H_i = r_i$ and $\partial_1 \circ H_i = s_i$ satisfying the second of the conditions listed in the Theorem 3.6.

Proof. (of Theorems 3.6 and 3.7) We use Proposition 3.2. By the Yoneda lemma the set A_0 coincides with the sections

$$\mathcal{C}[X.](U) = \mathsf{Ob}(\mathcal{C}[X.](U)) = \varinjlim_{\mathcal{U} \in \mathrm{Cov}\, U} \mathsf{Dis}_{[X.]}(\mathcal{U}).$$

Thus, $\pi_0^{\mathrm{simpl}}(\mathcal{C}[X.], x)(U)$ is the quotient of this set by the equivalence relation given by the simplicial homotopy, that in turn is described in the part (2) of the above proposition. The same argument gives the statement for the groups $\pi_1^{\mathrm{simpl}}(\mathcal{C}[X.], x)(U)$. Finally, we identify the presheaves $\pi_0^{\mathrm{simpl}}(\mathcal{C}[X.], x)$ and $\pi_1^{\mathrm{simpl}}(\mathcal{C}[X.], x)$ with those of $\mathcal{Y}$ by means of the Proposition 3.3.

Definition 3.8. *Let P be a presheaf on $\mathcal{S}_T$. A section $\sigma \in P(U)$ is* constant *if it lies in the image of the map $c^* : P(\mathsf{pt}) \to P(U)$, where $c : U \to \mathsf{pt}$.*

4. Hyperbolicity

The classical Brody's Theorem claims that two notions of hyperbolicity for complex spaces coincide. One is rooted in metric aspects of the complex space, the other is defined in terms of certain holomorphic maps. We are not aware of any possible candidates for the analogues of these notions about stacks in the literature. This section is devoted to providing ours.

4.1. Brody hyperbolicity.

In the paper [2] we have given the following definition

Definition 4.1. *A simplicial presheaf* $\mathcal{Y}$ *is* Brody hyperbolic *if*

1) *is simplicially locally fibrant and*
2) *the projection* $p_{\mathcal{X}} : \mathbb{C} \times \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{X}$ *induces set bijections*

$$\mathsf{Hom}_{\mathcal{H}_s}(\mathcal{X},\mathcal{Y}) \overset{\cong}{\to} \mathsf{Hom}_{\mathcal{H}_s}(\mathbb{C}\times\mathcal{X},\mathcal{Y}) \tag{5}$$

for all $\mathcal{X} \in \mathsf{Prsh}_T(\mathcal{S})$.

Since a groupoid can be seen as a simplicial presheaf by means of the functor N, we will use the same definition

Definition 4.2. *A groupoid* $\mathcal{G}$ *is* Brody hyperbolic *if* $N\mathcal{G}$ *is a Brody hyperbolic simplicial presheaf.*

Notice that a Brody hyperbolic groupoid is necessarily a stack. This definition can be rephrased in terms of holotopy presheaves:

Proposition 4.3. *Let* $\mathcal{Y}$ *a locally fibrant simplicial presheaf. The following conditions are equivalent:*

1) $\mathcal{Y}$ *is Brody hyperbolic;*
2) $p_{\mathcal{X}}^* : \mathsf{Map}(\mathcal{X},\mathcal{Y}) \to \mathsf{Map}(\mathbb{C}\times\mathcal{X},\mathcal{Y})$ *is a weak equivalence of simplicial sets for any* $\mathcal{X} \in \Delta^{op}\mathsf{Prsh}_T(\mathcal{S})$, *where* Map *is the simplicial mapping space;*
3) *the simplicial holotopy presheaves are Brody hyperbolic, i.e. the projection* $p_U : \mathbb{C}\times U \to U$ *induces isomorphisms* $p_U^* : \pi_i^{simpl}(\mathcal{Y},y)(U) \overset{\cong}{\to} \pi_i^{simpl}(\mathcal{Y},y)(\mathbb{C}\times U)$ *for each* i *and complex space* U.

Proof. 2)⇒ 1). $\mathcal{X}$ is locally fibrant by assumption and

$$\mathsf{Hom}_{\mathcal{H}_s}(\mathcal{X},\mathcal{Y}) = \pi_0(\mathsf{Map}(\mathcal{X},\mathcal{Y})) \cong \pi_0(\mathsf{Map}(\mathbb{C}\times\mathcal{X},\mathcal{Y})) = \mathsf{Hom}_{\mathcal{H}_s}(\mathbb{C}\times\mathcal{X},\mathcal{Y}).$$

1)⇒2). We show that the condition 2) is equivalent to $\mathcal{Y}(U) \cong \mathcal{Y}(\mathbb{C}\times U)$ being a simplicial sets weak equivalence for all complex spaces U. By a small object argument there is a weak equivalence $\phi : \mathcal{Z}_n \to \mathcal{X}$, where $\mathcal{Z}_n$ are direct sums of simplicial sheaves of the kind $U \times \Delta^n$ for complex spaces U, because for any presheaf there is a surjection onto it from a direct sum of complex spaces. Moreover, for any simplicial presheaf $\mathcal{T}_\cdot$, the canonical morphism hocolim $\mathcal{T}_n \to \mathcal{T}_\cdot$ is a simplicial local weak equivalence. Since both domain and codomain are cofibrant objects[2], by [7, Corollary 9.7.5.(2)], $\phi^* : \mathsf{Map}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}) \to \mathsf{Map}(\mathcal{Z}, \mathcal{Y})$ is a simplicial weak equivalence. In turn this simplicial set is weakly equivalent to

$$\mathsf{Map}(\mathrm{hocolim}_n(\amalg_U U \times \Delta^n), \mathcal{Y}) \cong \mathrm{holim}_n \mathsf{Map}(\amalg_U U \times \Delta^n, \mathcal{Y}) = \mathrm{holim}_{n,U} \mathsf{Map}(U, \mathcal{Y}).$$

Similarly, $\mathsf{Map}(\mathbb{C} \times \mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}) \cong \mathrm{holim}_{n,U} \mathsf{Map}(\mathbb{C} \times U, \mathcal{Y})$ since finite limits commute with filtered colimits. Thus, if we knew that

$$\mathcal{Y}(U) = \mathsf{Map}(U, \mathcal{Y}) \cong \mathsf{Map}(\mathbb{C} \times U, \mathcal{Y}) = \mathcal{Y}(\mathbb{C} \times U)$$

for all complex spaces U, we would have that the homotopy limits are weakly equivalent and the condition 2) is verified. To prove that the condition 1) implies that the simplicial sets $\mathcal{Y}(U)$ are weakly equivalent to $\mathcal{Y}(\mathbb{C} \times U)$ for each U, we consider $\mathcal{X} = \mathsf{S}^n_s \wedge U_+$ and use the pointed version of the condition 1). We conclude that

$$\mathsf{Hom}_{\mathcal{H}_s}(\mathsf{S}^n_s \times U, \mathcal{Y}) = \mathsf{Hom}_{\mathcal{H}_s}(\mathsf{S}^n_s \times \mathbb{C} \times U, \mathcal{Y}).$$

On the other hand, by adjunction, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathsf{Hom}_{\mathcal{H}_{s\bullet}}(\mathsf{S}^n_s \wedge U, (\mathcal{Y}, y)) &= \mathsf{Hom}_{\mathcal{H}_{s\bullet}}(\mathsf{S}^n_s, \mathsf{Map}_\bullet(U_+, (\mathcal{Y}, y))) = \\ &\quad \mathsf{Hom}_{\mathcal{H}_{s\bullet}}(\mathsf{S}^n_s, (\mathcal{Y}(U), y)) \overset{def}{=} \pi_n^{\mathrm{simpl}}(\mathcal{Y}(U), y). \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

Similarly, we prove that $\mathsf{Hom}_{\mathcal{H}_{s\bullet}}(\mathsf{S}^n_s \wedge U_+ \wedge \mathbb{C}_+, (\mathcal{Y}, y)) = \pi_n^{\mathrm{simpl}}(\mathcal{Y}(\mathbb{C} \times U), y)$, hence the simplicial sets $\mathcal{Y}(U)$ and $\mathcal{Y}(\mathbb{C} \times U)$ are weakly equivalent.

We have yet to prove that one of the conditions 1) or 2) is equivalent to the condition 3). For $\mathcal{Y}$ this is equivalent to have the sets (groups, when applicable)

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_i^{\mathrm{simpl}}(\mathcal{Y}, f)(U) &= \mathsf{Hom}_{\mathcal{H}_{s\bullet}}(\mathsf{S}^i_s \wedge U_+, (\mathcal{Y}, y)) = \\ &\quad \mathsf{Hom}_{\mathcal{H}_{s\bullet}}(\mathsf{S}^i_s, \mathsf{Map}_\bullet(U_+, (\mathcal{Y}, y))) = \pi_i^{\mathrm{simpl}}(\mathcal{Y}(U), y) \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

isomorphic to $\pi_i^{\mathrm{simpl}}(\mathcal{Y}(\mathbb{C} \times U), y)$, i.e. $p_U^* : \mathcal{Y}(U) \to \mathcal{Y}(\mathbb{C} \times U)$ is a weak homotopy equivalence, which is equivalent to condition 2) for what it has been previously proved. □

The notion of Brody hyperbolicity we will more frequently use is the 3) of the previous proposition.

Definition 4.4. 1) *A presheaf* $\mathcal{Y}$ *is* Brody hyperbolic *if the projection* $p_U : \mathbb{C} \times U \to U$ *induces bijections* $p_U^* : \mathcal{Y}(U) \to \mathcal{Y}(\mathbb{C} \times U)$ *for any complex space* U.

---

[2] all objects are for the Joyal simplicial, injective, local model structure.

2) *A groupoid* $\mathcal{G}$ *is* Brody hyperbolic *if the holotopy presheaves* $\pi_i^{simpl}(\mathcal{G}, g)$ *(cfr. Definition 3.1) are hyperbolic for all* i*, hence only for* $i = 0, 1$*, because of Theorem 2.1.*

4.2. **Kobayashi hyperbolicity.** In the previous subsection we defined Brody hyperbolicity of a groupoid by first giving the same notion for a presheaf and then imposing that specification to the holotopy presheaves of the groupoid. The holotopy presheaves determine whether a groupoid is *Kobayashi hyperbolic*, as well. Classically, complex spaces Kobayashi hyperbolicity is a notion arising in the attempt to give complex spaces a biholomorphically invariant distance. In general the best that can be done is endowing complex spaces of a biholomorphically *pseudodistance.* When on a complex space X this happens to be a distance, X is said to be *Kobayashi hyperbolic.*

The notion of Kobayashi hyperbolicity for groupoids is based upon the concept of relative analytic disk.

4.2.1. *Disks and analytic chains.* Let $\mathbb{D}$ be the unitary open disk in $\mathbb{C}$. We recall that for a complex space U, we have denoted $\operatorname{Cov} U$ the set of countable, locally finite, open coverings $\mathcal{U} = \{U_i\}_i$ of U such that $U_i \Subset U$ for all i. If $\mathcal{U} \in \operatorname{Cov} U$ and $\mathcal{D} = \{D_a\}_a \in \operatorname{Cov} \mathbb{D}$ let $\{\mathcal{D} \times \mathcal{U}\}$ be the covering $\{D_a \times U_i\}_{ai}$ of $\mathbb{D} \times U$. The set $\operatorname{Cov} \mathbb{D} \times \operatorname{Cov} U$ is filtering in $\operatorname{Cov} \mathbb{D} \times U$.

Let $\mathcal{Y}$ be a presheaf. A *relative analytic disk* of $\mathcal{Y}$ on a compex space U is an object of $\mathsf{F} \in \mathcal{Y}(\mathbb{D} \times U)$. For any $z \in \mathbb{D}$, the same letter will refer to the inclusion $\{z\} \times U \hookrightarrow \mathbb{D} \times U$. Let $r, s \in \mathcal{P}(U)$ be two sections and suppose there exists a relative analytic disk F and two points $z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{D}$ such that $z_1^*\mathsf{F} = r$ and $z_2^*\mathsf{F} = s$. The sections r and s are then said to be *connected* by F. A *relative analytic chain* on U connecting r to s is the set $\mathsf{C}_{(r,s)}$ of the following data:

1) a collection $r_0 = r, \cdots, r_k = s$ of sections;
2) $2k$ points $a_1, b_1, \cdots, a_k, b_k$ in $\mathbb{D}$;
3) k relative analytic disks $\mathsf{F}_1, \cdots, \mathsf{F}_k$ such that the analytic disk F_i connects the sections r_{i-1} and r_i, i.e. $a_i^*\mathsf{F}_i = r_{i-1}$ and $b_i^*\mathsf{F}_i = r_i$ for all $1 \leq i \leq k$.

If a relative analytic chain $\mathsf{C}_{(r,s)}$ connects the section r with the section s, we call the pair (r, s) *admissible.* If $\mathcal{Y} = Y$ is a complex space, admissibility of all section pairs in $\mathcal{Y}(\mathsf{pt})$ is equivalent to the topological connectedness of Y.

Endow the unitary open disk $\mathbb{D}$ of the Poincaré metric

$$ds^2 = \frac{1}{(1 - |z|^2)^2} dz \otimes d\bar{z}$$

and denote with $\varrho_{\mathbb{D}}(p, q)$ the associated distance function between two points a and b in $\mathbb{D}$. Then, for every chain $\mathsf{C}_{(r,s)}$ the nonnegative number

$$l(\mathsf{C}_{(r,s)}) = \varrho_{\mathbb{D}}(a_1, b_1) + \cdots + \varrho_{\mathbb{D}}(a_k, b_k) \tag{8}$$

is, by definition, the *(Kobayashi) length* of the relative analytic chain $\mathsf{C}_{(r,s)}$. If (r, s) is an admissible pair of sections, the nonnegative real number

$$\mathrm{d}^{\mathcal{Y}}_{\mathrm{Kob}}(r, s) = \inf_{\mathsf{C}_{(r,s)}} l(\mathsf{C}_{(r,s)}) \tag{9}$$

defines a *pseudodistance* function on all the admissible pairs of sections in $\mathcal{Y}(U)$ for all complex spaces U, called *Kobayashi pseudodistance* of $\mathcal{Y}$.

Arguing as in the case of complex spaces it immediately seen that morphisms of presheaves decrease the Kobayashi pseudodistance.

Definition 4.5. *A presheaf $\mathcal{Y}$ is said to be* Kobayashi hyperbolic *if its Kobayashi pseudodistance is indeed a distance, hence if and only if $\mathrm{d}^{\mathcal{Y}}_{Kob}(r, s) \neq 0$ for all admissible pairs $(r, s) \in \mathcal{Y}(U)$ with $r \neq s$ and all complex spaces U.*

The Kobayashi hyperbolicity for a groupoid is defined as follows:

Definition 4.6. *A groupoid $\mathcal{G}$ is* Kobayashi hyperbolic *if the holotopy presheaves $\pi_0^{simpl}(\mathcal{G}, g)$ and $\pi_1^{simpl}(\mathcal{G}, g)$ are Kobayashi hyperbolic.*

For general groupoids, we cannot go much further in expliciting what this amounts to; however, if $\mathcal{G}$ happens to be an analytic stack, the description of holotopy presheaves given in the Section 3 may be used to express the Kobayashi hyperbolicity in terms of holomorphic maps between complex spaces.

Thus, consider an analytic stack $p : X \to \mathcal{Y}$. Since Kobayashi hyperbolicity of $\mathcal{Y}$ is a prescription on the holotopy sheaves, we can replace $\mathcal{Y}$ with the stack $\mathcal{C}[X.]$, because of Proposition 3.3 and, by Theorem 3.6, this condition can be rephrased using descent data in X. In this case, a relative analytic disk is determined by a descent datum F on $\mathbb{D} \times U$ and therefore by an open covering $\mathcal{D} \times \mathcal{U}$ of $\mathbb{D} \times U$ and by holomorphic maps

$$F_{ai} : D_a \times U_i \to X,\ F_{ai,bj} : D_{ab} \times U_{ij} \to X_1 = X \times_{\mathcal{Y}} X,$$

where we wrote $D_{ab} \times U_{ij}$ for the set $(D_a \times U_i) \cap (D_b \times U_j)$. These maps satisfy the conditions $(\star)$ and $(\star\star)$ mentioned in the Subsection 3.1. Keeping the usual notation, this will be denoted as

$$\mathsf{F} = \mathsf{F}_{\mathcal{D}\times\mathcal{U}} = \big(F_{ai} : D_a \times U_i \to \mathbb{D} \times U, F_{aibj} : D_{ab} \times U_{ij} \to X_1\big) \tag{10}$$

with the coverings morphisms ranging among those of the kind $\amalg_{ai}(D_a \times U_i) \to \mathbb{D} \times U$.

The covering $\{D_a \times U_i\}_{ai}$ induces on $\{z\} \times U$ an open covering $\{U_{ai}\}_{ai}$ of $\{z\} \times U$ by setting $U_{ai} = (D_a \times U_i) \cap \{z\} \times U$ and the holomorphic maps F_{ai} and F_{aibj} restrict to holomorphic maps on U_{ai} and their intersections, satisfying $(\star)$ and $(\star\star)$. Notice that among the open subspaces $\{U_{ai}\}$ there may be some indexed by different pairs but are coincident are coincident as subspaces. A descent data $r = (r_i, f_{ij})$ on U relative to a covering $\{U_i\}_i$ determines a descent data r relative to the covering $\{U_{ai}\}_{ai}$ by letting $r_{ai} = r_i$ e $f_{aibj} = f_{ij}$.

Remark 4.1.

(1) Let $f : \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{Y}$ be a 1-morphism of groupoids. Then for any complex space U and pair of admissible sections $r, s \in \pi_i^{\mathrm{simpl}}(\mathcal{X}, x)(U)$, the sections $f_*(r)$ and $f_*(s)$ are admissible in $\pi_i^{\mathrm{simpl}}(\mathcal{Y}, y)(U)$ and $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{Kob}}^{\mathcal{Y}}(f_*(r), f_*(s)) \leq \mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{Kob}}^{\mathcal{Y}}(r, s)$. It follows that $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{Kob}}$ is a simplicial, local weak equivalence invariant of stacks.

(2) If $\mathcal{Y}$ is a complex space Y, then $\pi_i^{\mathrm{simpl}} = 0$ for $i > 0$ and $\pi_0^{\mathrm{simpl}}(\mathcal{Y}, y) \cong Y$ as (pre)sheaf. The definitions of Brody and Kobayashi hyperbolicity we have given involve all sections, holomorphic maps in this case, $U \to Y$ as opposed to just points $y \in Y$ as it is classically the case. It is easy to see that the relevant notions of hyperbolicity coincide.

(3) At the other extreme end, let $\mathcal{Y} = \mathcal{B}G$ be the classifying stack of a Lie group G. Then $X = \mathsf{pt}$ and G has to be finite, if we wish to restrict to Deligne-Mumford analytic stacks. Then there are no admissible pairs of sections in π_0^{simpl} and π_1^{simpl}, being similar to the case of a discrete complex space.

5. THE AUXILIARY QUOTIENT SPACE

Although the set $\varinjlim_{\mathcal{U} \in \mathrm{Cov}\, U} \mathsf{Dis}_{[X.]}(\mathcal{U})$ is closely tied to the complex structure of an atlas X of an analytic stack $\mathcal{Y}$, it is unclear how to metrize it in a usable way and arguments employing complex variables theory, such as those necessary to prove Brody theorem, seem not possible.

An *étale* map $f : X \to Y$ between complex spaces is a holomorphic map with differential being an invertible linear map at each point. An *étale covering* is a surjective étale holomorphic map such that for each $y \in Y$ there exists a *uniformly covered* open neighborhood, i.e. $y \in U_y$ such that $f^{-1}U_y = \amalg_i V_i$ as topological space and $f_{|V_i} \to U_y$ is a biholomorphism for all i.

A stack $\mathcal{Y}$ with an étale presentation is called a *Deligne-Mumford analytic stack*, DM *analytic stack* for short.

Let $\mathcal{Y}$ be an analytic stack. We will say that $\mathcal{Y}$ is *compact* if there exists two presentations $p_X : X = \amalg_{i=1}^N X^{(i)} \to \mathcal{Y}$, $p_Z : Z = \amalg_{i=1}^N Z^{(i)} \to \mathcal{Y}$ with $Z^{(i)}$ connected, such that for each $i = 1, \ldots, N$ there exists an embedding $\phi_i : X^{(i)} \Subset Z^{(i)}$ with relatively compact image in $Z^{(i)}$ and such that $p_Z \circ \phi_i = p_{X^{(i)}}$. If p_Z is étale , X and $X \times_{\mathcal{Y}} X$ are embedded as open, relatively compact subspaces of Z and $Z \times_{\mathcal{Y}} Z$, respectively, ($X \Subset Z$, $X \times_{\mathcal{Y}} X \Subset Z \times_{\mathcal{Y}} Z$) and the structural morphisms

$$X \times_{\mathcal{Y}} X \underset{\partial_1}{\overset{\partial_0}{\rightrightarrows}} X \tag{11}$$

are étale and restrictions of their counterparts

$$Z \times_{\mathcal{Y}} Z \underset{\partial_1}{\overset{\partial_0}{\rightrightarrows}} Z. \tag{12}$$

Notice that in a compact DM analytic stack, the fibres of the maps ∂_0 and ∂_1 are equifinite. For a DM analytic stack $\mathcal{Y}$ the following properties are equivalent:

1) $\mathcal{Y}$ is compact;
2) every atlas R has a finite subatlas, i.e. R is has finitely many connected components.

Indeed, if $\mathcal{Y}$ is compact and $R = \amalg_i R^{(i)}$, $R^{(i)}$ connected, is an atlas, replacing R by $R \times_{\mathcal{Y}} X$, we may assume the existence of a holomorphic map $f : R \to Z$ over $\mathcal{Y}$ with relatively compact image in Z. Let $W_i := \amalg_i R^{(i)} \times_{\mathcal{Y}} Z$; it is an open covering of Z and, a fortiori, of $\overline{f(R)} \subset Z$. Since $\overline{f(R)}$ is compact in Z, there is a finite subset $\{j\}$ of indices of $\{i\}$ such that $\overline{f(R)} \subset \amalg_{j=1}^M W_j$. This shows that $\amalg_{j=1}^M R^{(j)}$ is a subatlas of R. Viceversa, let $R^{(k)}$ be a connected component of an atlas R of $\mathcal{Y}$. We can cover it with infinitely many relatively compact open subsets $R_i^{(k)}$ such that $\bigcup_i R_i^{(k)}$ is a *proper* open covering in the sense that $\bigcup_{j \neq i} R_j^{(k)}$ is not $R^{(k)}$. Doing that for all the connected components of R and taking their disjoint union, we get an atlas of $\mathcal{Y}$ whose finite subatlases are relatively compact in R. In the sequel we use the following

Lemma 5.1. *Let $\mathcal{Y}$ be a compact DM analytic stack, R an atlas of $\mathcal{Y}$. Then given a sequence $\{r_\nu\} \subset R$ with no limit points in R, there exists a sequence $\{w_\mu\}$ in $R_1 = R \times_{\mathcal{Y}} R$ such that $\{\partial_0(w_\mu)\}$ is a subsequence of $\{r_\nu\}$ and $\{\partial_1(w_\mu)\}$ is convergent in R. The same conclusion we have when exchanging ∂_0 with ∂_1 in the statement.*

Proof. Let $p_X : X \to \mathcal{Y}$, $p_Z : Z \to \mathcal{Y}$, $X \Subset Z$ two presentations of $\mathcal{Y}$ as in the previous definition of compactness. Denote by R' the complex space $R \times_{\mathcal{Y}} X$; it comes with a canonical embedding in $Z' = R \times_{\mathcal{Y}} Z$ whose image is relatively compact. The canonical projection $R' \to R$ is surjective, hence we can lift the sequence $\{r_\nu\}_\nu$ to a sequence $\{r'_\nu\}_\nu$ in R'. It suffices to prove the statement of the lemma for R': being all the morphisms over $\mathcal{Y}$, we have an induced holomorphic map $g : R'_1 \to R_1$ which commutes with the relevant face morphisms ∂_0 and ∂_1; thus, if $\{w'_\mu\}_\mu \subset R'$ is the sequence whose existence is the content of the lemma for R', then $\{g(w'_\mu) =: w_\mu\}_\mu \subset R_1$ has the property that $\{\partial_1(w_\mu)\}_\mu$ converges in R (to $g(\lim_\mu \partial_1(w'_\mu))$), as claimed. We proceed to prove the lemma for R'.

Since $R' \Subset Z'$, there exists a subsequence r'_μ of $\{r'_n u\}$ converging to a point $z' \in Z'$. Let $U \subset Z'$ be a neighborhood of z' such that $\{r'_\mu\} \in U$ for $\mu \gg 0$ and U is uniformly covered by ∂_0. Consider the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
Z' \times_{\mathcal{Y}} U \arrow[r, "\partial_0"] \arrow[d, "\partial_1"] & Z' \arrow[d, "p_{Z'}"] \\
U \arrow[r, "p_{Z'|U}"] & \mathcal{Y}
\end{tikzcd}$$

$Z' \times_{\mathcal{Y}} U$ is a complex space isomorphic to $\amalg_i U_i$ and $\partial_{0|U_i}$ is a biholomorphism onto U for any i, since ∂_0 is étale . For each i, let $w'_{\mu,i}$ be the sequence $\partial_{0|U_i}^{-1}(r'_\mu) \in U_i$; it converges to w'_i, the only point of $\partial_0^{-1}(z')$ in U_i. We claim that there exists a j such that $\{w'_{\mu,j}\} \to w'_j$ with $\partial_0(w'_j) = z'$ and $\{\partial_1(w'_{\mu,j})\}$ converges to $\partial_1(w'_j) \in R'$. This happens because $p_{R'} : R' \to \mathcal{Y}$ is epi, thus there exists a point $s \in R'$ such that $(p_{R'})_*(s) \cong (p_{Z'})_*(z')$, which means that $s \sim z'$ or, in other words, there is an index j such that $w^j \in \partial_0^{-1}(z)$ has the property $\partial_1(w^j) = s \in R'$. $\square$

5.1. **Existence of the complex structure.**

Given an analytic stack $p : X \to \mathcal{Y}$ we are interested in finding a complex space X' and a holomorphic map q making the diagram

$$X_1 = X \times_{\mathcal{Y}} X \underset{\partial_1}{\overset{\partial_0}{\rightrightarrows}} X \xrightarrow{q} X'$$

commutative and preserving as much information about the diagram as possible.

Consider the following relation on the points of X:

(13) $x \sim y$ if and only if there exists $a \in X_1$ such that $\partial_0(a) = x$ and $\partial_1(a) = y$.

The existence of a groupoid structure on $[X.]$ implies that this is a set theoretic equivalence relation on X. Notice that the diagram

$$X \times_{\mathcal{Y}} X \underset{\partial_1}{\overset{\partial_0}{\rightrightarrows}} X \tag{14}$$

is not a *categorical* equivalence relation, hence we cannot apply a well known result on the existence of its colimit in the category of complex spaces (see, for instance, [11, Proposition 1.2]). Denote by $X/\!\sim$ the quotient set by the equivalence relation (13).

When a fixed presentation $p : X \to \mathcal{Y}$ is understood, for cosmetic reasons, we will denote $X/\!\sim$ as $Q(\mathcal{Y})$ and $q : X \to Q(\mathcal{Y})$ its projection. We list some noticeable properties of the diagram $X \to Q(\mathcal{Y})$ for a compact DM analytic stack $p : X \to \mathcal{Y}$.

Given $x \in X$, let $[x]$ be the set of points in X equivalent to x: $[x] = \partial_1(\partial_0^{-1}(x))$ is a finite set. Let

$$A = \{x \in X : \partial_0^{-1}(x) \cap \partial_1^{-1}(x) \neq \varnothing\};$$

A is the projection through ∂_0 or ∂_1 of the closed analytic set

$$C = \{w \in X_1 : \partial_0(w) = \partial_1(w)\}\,.$$

and we also let $B = q(A)$.

A is a closed analytic subset of X. Indeed, let $x_\nu \to x_0$ for $n \to +\infty$ and $x_\nu \in A$. Let $U = U(x_0)$ be a uniformly covered by ∂_0 neighborhood of x_0, so that $\partial_0^{-1}(U) = \amalg_i V_i$. Since $x_\nu \in U$ for $\nu \gg 0$, there will be a j so that V_j contains infinitely many elements $w_\mu \in \partial_0^{-1}(x_\nu)$ such that $\{w_\mu\} \subset C$ and converging to a point $w \in V_j$ with $\partial_0(w) = x_0$. Since C is closed, $w \in C$, hence $x_0 \in A$. To show that A is analytic fix a point $x_0 = \partial_0(w_0) = \partial_1(w_0)$, $w_0 \in C$ and a ∂_0 uniformly covered open neighborhood U of it. Using the same previous standard notation, $U \cap A = \partial_0(\amalg_{i=1}^N (C \cap V_i))$; since $C \cap V_i$ are analytic and $(\partial_0)_{|V_i}$ are bihomolorphisms, we conclude that $U \cap A$ is a (finite) union of analitic subsets for any such U, hence A is analytic.

$q : X \to Q(\mathcal{Y})$ is a continuous open map with finite fibers: let $O \subset X$ be an open set and consider $q(O) \subset Q(\mathcal{Y})$; fix a point $q(x) = y \in q(O)$ and a uniformly covered open neighborhood $x \in U \subset O$. Then $q(U)$ is an open neighborhood of y contained in $q(O)$ since $q^{-1}(q(U)) = \bigcup_{i-\text{finite}} \partial_1(V_i)$ and each $\partial_1(V_i)$ is open. Hence, $q(O)$ is open in $Q(\mathcal{Y})$.

$q^{-1}(B) = A$ and $q : X \smallsetminus A \to Q(\mathcal{Y}) \smallsetminus B$ is a finite étale covering. The first statement follows at once since isomorphic objects have isomorphic automorphism groups. Let $z = q(x) \in Q(\mathcal{Y}) \smallsetminus B$ so that $x \in X \smallsetminus A$. Then it is possible to find an open neighborhood $U_x \subset X \smallsetminus A$ of x such that $\partial_1(V_i) \cap \partial_1(V_j) = \varnothing$ for $i \neq j$, where as usual $\partial_0^{-1}(U_x) = \amalg_i V_i$, which means that $q(U_x)$ is uniformly covered by q since $q^{-1}(q(U_x)) = \amalg_i \partial_1(V_i)$.

$Q(\mathcal{Y})$ is locally compact and Hausdorff. Let $z = q(x) \neq \zeta = q(y) \in Q(\mathcal{Y})$ and U_x, U_y disjoint open neighborhoods such that their saturations $\widehat{U}_x$ and $\widehat{U}_y$ with respect to the equivalence relation (whose associated quotient is $Q(\mathcal{Y})$) are disjoint. Then $q(U_x)$ and $q(U_y)$ are disjoint open neighborhoods of z and ζ.

$Q(\mathcal{Y})$ is sequentially compact, hence compact since its topology has a countable basis. Let $\{q(x)_\nu\}$ a sequence of $Q(\mathcal{Y})$. If $\{x_\nu\}$ has an accumulation point x_0, then $q(x_0)$ is an accumulation point for $\{q(x)_\nu\}$. If that is not the case, we use Lemma 5.1: there esists a sequence $\{w_\mu\} \subset X_1$ such that $\{\partial_0(w_\mu)\} = \{x_\mu\}$ is a subsequence of $\{x_\nu\}$ and $y_\mu = \partial_1(w_\mu) \to y_0$. We have that $x_\mu \sim y_\mu$ and $q(x_\mu) = q(y_\mu) \to q(y_0)$.

Arguing in the same fashion we prove that B is closed in $Q(\mathcal{Y})$.

We finally remark that $q : X \to Q(\mathcal{Y})$ is locally proper, that is given x, there exist an open neighborhoods $N = N(x)$ and $V = V(q(x))$ of x and $q(x)$, respectively, such that q is proper from N to V.

We are now able to prove the following theorem:

Theorem 5.2. *Let $p : X \to \mathcal{Y}$ be a compact DM analytic stack. Then,*

1) *there exists a complex structure on* $Q(\mathcal{Y})$ *making it a compact complex space of the same dimension of* X*;*
2) $B = q(A)$ *is a closed analytic subspace,* $q^{-1}(B) = A$ *and* $q : X \smallsetminus A \to Q(\mathcal{Y}) \smallsetminus B$ *is étale .*

Proof. i) On $Q(\mathcal{Y}) \smallsetminus B$ the complex structure is the one descended from $X \smallsetminus A$ through the covering map $q_{|X \smallsetminus A}$.

Since q is locally proper, to show that $Q(\mathcal{Y})$ has a complex structure, we can use Cartan's Theorem [5]. This involves showing that for any point $\bar{x} \in B$, there exists a neighborhood N of $\bar{x}$ such that the algebra

$$\mathcal{A}(N) = \{h \in C^0(N, \mathbb{C}) : h \circ q \in \mathcal{O}(q^{-1}(N))\}$$

separates points in N, where $\mathcal{O}$ is the sheaf of germs of holomorphic functions on X. Given $x \in X$, we let

$$\partial_0^{-1}(x) = \{x_1, \ldots, x_m\} \text{ e } J = \{j \in \{1, \cdots, m\} : x_j \in \partial_0^{-1}(x) \cap \partial_1^{-1}(x)\}.$$

Consider a ∂_0 uniformly covered Stein neighborhood $U = U(x)$ of x and denote $\partial_0^{-1}(U) = \amalg_{i=}^m V_i$ such that

(1) $\partial_1(V_i) \cap U = \varnothing$ if $i \neq J$ and
(2) $\partial_1(V_j) = U$ for all $j \in J$.

For any $j \in J$ we let λ_j be the corresponding holomorphic section $U \to V_j$ of ∂_0. If $f : U \to \mathbb{C}$ is a holomorphic function, we define a new holomorphic function $g_f : U \to \mathbb{C}$ as

$$g_f(z) = \prod_{j \in J} f\left(\partial_1(\lambda_j(z))\right). \tag{15}$$

for $z \in U$.

Lemma 5.3. *If* $z, z' \in U$ *and* $z \sim z'$ *then* $g_f(z) = g_f(z')$ *.*

Proof. By assumption, $z' = \partial_1(\lambda_k(z))$ for some $k \in J$, hence

$$g_f(z') = \prod_{j \in J} f\left(\partial_1(\lambda_j(\partial_1(\lambda_k(z))))\right). \tag{16}$$

By the groupoid structure in $[X.]$, we have

$$\partial_1\Big(m\left(\, \lambda_k(z), \lambda_j(\partial_1(\lambda_k(z)))\,\right)\Big) = \partial_1\Big(\lambda_j\big(\partial_1(\lambda_k(z))\big)\Big) \tag{17}$$

and

$$\partial_0\Big(\, m\left(\, \lambda_k(z), \lambda_j(\partial_1(\lambda_k(z)))\,\right)\,\Big) = z. \tag{18}$$

Let $h(j)$ be a positive integer such that $m\left(\lambda_k(z), \lambda_j(\partial_1(\lambda_k(z)))\right) \in V_{h(j)}$. We have that $h(j) \in J$, because $j \in J$ so the element represented by the equality (17) lies in U and $\partial_1(V_{h(j)}) = U$. Moreover, the points $\{x_j : j \in J\}$ form a group under the operation m, hence the correspondence $h : J \to J$ is a permutation. On the other hand, all the λ_i are sections of ∂_0, therefore, by the equation (18) we have

$$\lambda_{h(j)}(z) = m\left(\ \lambda_k(z)\ ,\ \lambda_j(\partial_1(\lambda_k(z)))\ \right).$$

Summing up, the three sets of points

- $\{\partial_1(\lambda_j(z)) : j \in J\}$
- $\{\partial_1(\lambda_{h(j)}(z)) : j \in J\}$
- $\{\partial_1(\lambda_j(\partial_1(\lambda_k(z)))) : j \in J\}$

all coincide. This shows that the map in (15) is the same as the map in (16). $\square$

Let $N = q(U)$ where U is a Stein domain; N is open and the holomorphic function g_f factor through $Q(\mathcal{Y})$ for all $f \in \mathcal{O}(U)$, and define continuous function $h = h_f$ on N. For any $f \in \mathcal{O}(U)$ the function $h_f \circ h$ holomorphically extends to a holomorphic function l_f defined on the saturation $\widehat{U} = U \amalg_{i \notin J} \partial_1(V_i)$ of U: let $h_f \circ q_{|\partial_1(V_i)} = g_f \circ \partial_0 \circ \mu_i$, where $\mu_i : \partial_1(V_i) \to V_i$ is the i-th section to ${\partial_1}$[3]. By construction, l_f is holomorphic on $q^{-1}(N)$. We show that the function algebra $\mathcal{A}(N)$ separates points. It suffices to show that the set of functions $\{l_f\}_{f\in\mathcal{O}(U)}$ separate points. Take $\bar{x} \neq \bar{y} \in N$, with $x, y \in U$; then, since U is Stein, there exists $f \in \mathcal{O}(U)$ such that $f(x) = 0$ and $f(y) = 1$.[4]. It follows that $h_f(\bar{x}) = 0$ and $h_f(y) = 1$ and this concludes the proof of part i) of the theorem.

ii) is a consequence of the fact that q is quasi finite, locally proper and

$$q_{|X\smallsetminus A} : X\smallsetminus A \to Q(\mathcal{Y})\smallsetminus B$$

is a covering map. $\square$

We conclude the section showing that the quotient space does not depend on the chosen presentation of $\mathcal{Y}$.

Lemma 5.4. *Let $p_R : R \to \mathcal{Y}$ and $p_T : T \to \mathcal{Y}$ be two presentations of $\mathcal{Y}$. Then, $R/\!\sim$ and $T/\!\sim$ are isomorphic complex spaces.*

---

[3] making U a little smaller, we may assume ∂_1 is a biholomorphism on its images when restricted to V_i for all i.

[4] such an f exists since U is Stein.

Proof. Considering the presentation $R \times_{\mathcal{Y}} T \to \mathcal{Y}$, we may assume that there are holomorphic maps f and f_1 making the following diagram 2-commutative

$$\begin{tikzcd}
R \times_{\mathcal{Y}} R \arrow[rr, "f_1"] \arrow[d, shift right, "\partial_1"'] \arrow[d, shift left, "\partial_0"] & & T \times_{\mathcal{Y}} T \arrow[d, shift right, "\partial_1"'] \arrow[d, shift left, "\partial_0"] \\
R \arrow[rr, "f"] \arrow[dr, "p_R"'] & & T \arrow[dl, "p_T"] \\
& \mathcal{Y}. &
\end{tikzcd} \tag{19}$$

The maps f and f_1 induce a holomorphic map $\widetilde{f} : R/\sim \to T/\sim$. Let us prove the bijectivity of $\widetilde{f}$. Injectivity: consider two sections u and v in $R(U)$ such that $\widetilde{u} \neq \widetilde{v} \in R/\!\sim(U)$; then, by definition, $p_R(u) \ncong p_R(v) \in \mathcal{Y}(U)$. It follows that $f(u)$ and $f(v)$ are not identified in $T/\!\sim$, or else their images in $\mathcal{Y}$, that are isomorphic to $p_R(u)$ and $p_R(v)$ respectively, would be isomorphic. Surjectivity: fix a $\widetilde{b} \in R/\!\sim(U)$ with $b \in T(U)$; since $p_R : R \to \mathcal{Y}$ is an atlas, there exists an étale covering $\pi : U' \to U$ and $a \in R(U')$ such that $p_R(a)$ is isomorphic to $\pi^* p_T(b)$ as objects in $\mathcal{Y}(U')$. Consider now the element $c := (\pi^* b, f(a), \phi) \in (T \times_{\mathcal{Y}} T)(U')$ where $\phi : \pi^* p_T(b) \to p_T(f(a))$ is an isomorphism, the existence of which is a consequence of the 2-commutativity of the lower triangle and of the existence of the isomorphism $p_T(a) \cong \pi^* p_T(b)$ just mentioned. We have that $\partial_0(c) = \pi^* b$ and $\partial_1(c) = f(a)$, thus $\widetilde{\pi^* b} = \widetilde{f(a)} = \widetilde{f}(\widetilde{a})$. This shows that $\widetilde{f}$ is surjective. □

6. Topological and metric structures

6.1. **Distances.** For the time being, we will only consider compact, DM analytic stacks. Let $\mathcal{Y}$ one such analytic stack with atlases $X \Subset Z$, $X = \amalg_{i=1}^{N} X^{(i)}$, $Z = \amalg_{i=1}^{N} Z^{(i)}$ (cfr. Sezione 5). We can assume X_i and Z_i are Stein.

Fix a distance $\mathrm{d} : Q(\mathcal{Y}) \times Q(\mathcal{Y}) \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ on the quotient space $Q(\mathcal{Y})$ determined by a differentiable[5] length function H. As we have previously introduced, $q = q_X$ is the projection $X \to Q(\mathcal{Y})$. Even if $q^* H$ is only a pseudolength function neverthless to $q^* H$ is associated a distance on any connected component of X: this because q is locally proper and equifinite fibres. This is the same distance induced by the restriction of $q_Z^* H$ to X. These distances on the connected components can be assembled together to a unique distance d_X on all X in the following way. Fix two points $x \neq y \in X$; a (piecewise differentiable) path γ through x and y is a set $\{\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \cdots, \gamma_m\}$ of paths $[0,1] \to X$ such that:

(1) $\gamma_1(0) = x$ and $\gamma_m(1) = y$;
(2) $q(\gamma_{i+1}(0)) = q(\gamma_i(1))$ for all $1 \leq i \leq m+1$.

---

[5] for the complex structure of $Q(\mathcal{Y})$.

If $l(\gamma_i)$ denotes the length of γ_i with respect to the distance on the connected component of X in which the image of γ_i lies, the positive real number $l(\gamma)=\sum_{i=1}^m l(\gamma_i)$ is the length of γ by definition. We then set

$$\mathrm{d}_X(x,y)=\inf_\gamma l(\gamma).$$

We proceed likewise for the complex space $X_1=X\times_{\mathcal{Y}}X$, considering on X_1 the length functions $(q\circ\partial_0)^*H$, $(q\circ\partial_1)^*H$ which give rise to the same distance making ∂_0 and ∂_1 into local isometries, since $q\circ\partial_0=q\circ\partial_1$. A similar argument applies to $X_2:=X_1\times_{\partial_0,X,\partial_1}X_1$ and to the multplication $m:X_2\to X_1$ that becomes a local isometry, as well.

The distance just introduced allow to metrize in a natural way the sets $\mathsf{Dis}_{[X.]}(U)$, $\pi_0(\mathcal{Y},y)(U)$ e $\pi_1(\mathcal{Y},y)(U)$ as follows. Let $r=(r_i,f_{ij})\neq s=(s_i,g_{ij})$ two descent data in $\mathsf{Dis}_{[X.]}(\mathcal{U})$, with $\mathcal{U}\in\operatorname{Cov}U$; define

$$\delta(r,s)=\sup_{\substack{u\in U_i\\ i\in\mathbb{N}}}\big\{\mathrm{d}_X(r_i(u),s_i(u))\big\}+\sup_{\substack{u\in U_{ij}\\ i,j\in\mathbb{N}}}\big\{\mathrm{d}_{X_1}(f_{ij}(u),g_{ij}(u))\big\}. \tag{20}$$

The distance function $\delta(r,s)$ is invariant by restriction, that is $\delta(r,s)=\delta(r_{|\mathcal{U}'},s_{|\mathcal{U}'})$ for any $\mathcal{U}'\succeq\mathcal{U}$, thus it is defined for pairs of objects in $\mathsf{Ob}(\mathcal{C}[X.](U))$. In turn it induces a distance function $\delta_{\pi_0,U}$ on $\pi_0^{\mathrm{simpl}}(\mathcal{Y},y)(U)$: for $\alpha,\beta\in\pi_0^{\mathrm{simpl}}(\mathcal{Y},y)(U)$

$$\delta_{\pi_0,U}(\alpha,\beta)=\inf_{r\in\alpha,s\in\beta}\delta(r,s). \tag{21}$$

For $\pi_1^{\mathrm{simpl}}(\mathcal{Y},y)(U)$ we proceed similarly. Given $\mathcal{U}=\{U_i\}_i\in\operatorname{Cov}U$, the elements of $\pi_1^{\mathrm{simpl}}(\mathcal{Y},y)(U)$ are represented by pairs $[r,\phi]$ of a descent datum r and $\phi=\{\phi_i\}_i$ is an automorphism of r (cfr. Theorem 3.7). The distance δ between two such pairs (r,ϕ) and (s,ψ) is defined as

$$\delta\big((r,\phi),(s,\psi)\big)=\delta(r,s)+\sup_{\substack{u\in U_i\\ i\in\mathbb{N}}}\big\{\mathrm{d}_{X_1}\big(\phi_i(u),\psi_i(u)\big)\big\} \tag{22}$$

and the distance between two classes $\alpha,\beta\in\pi_1^{\mathrm{simpl}}(\mathcal{Y},y)(U)$ is

$$\delta_{\pi_1,U}(\alpha,\beta)=\inf_{\substack{(r,\phi)\in\alpha\\ (s,\psi)\in\beta}}\delta\big((r,\phi),(s,\psi)\big). \tag{23}$$

Proposition 6.1. *$\delta_{\pi_0,U}$ e $\delta_{\pi_1,U}$ are distances.*

Proof. Let us introduce the following notation: $\widetilde{r}\neq\widetilde{s}$ are two classes in $\pi_0^{\mathrm{simpl}}(\mathcal{Y},y)(U)$ for a fixed complex space U and descent data $r=(r_i,f_{ij})$ and $s=(s_i,g_{ij})$ in X. It suffices to show that $\delta_{\pi_0,U}(\widetilde{r},\widetilde{s})>0$, since the other axioms of being a distance are readily seen to be satisfied. Recall that, given a descent datum r, the composition $q\circ r$ denotes the well defined holomorphic map $U \to Q(\mathcal{Y})$ defined locally by $q \circ r_i$ and gluing all these maps over U. We split the proof in two complementary cases: $q \circ r \neq q \circ s$, $q \circ r = q \circ s$.

$q \circ r \neq q \circ s$. Under this assumption we have that $\sup\limits_{u \in U_i} \{\mathrm{d}_X(r_i(u), s_i(u))\} \geq N > 0$: let $V \subset U$ be an open set such that $\sup\limits_{v \in V} \left\{\mathrm{d}_{Q(\mathcal{Y})}(q \circ r(v), q \circ s(v))\right\} = N > 0$. Then $\delta(r, s) \geq \sup\limits_{\substack{u \in U_i \\ i \in \mathbb{N}}} \{\mathrm{d}_X(r_i(u), s_i(u))\} \geq N > 0$ since the length function on X inducing d_X is q^*H, where H is a length function on $Q(\mathcal{Y})$, hence $\mathrm{d}_X(x_1, x_2) \geq \mathrm{d}_{Q(\mathcal{Y})}(q(x_1), q(x_2))$ for any $x_1, x_2 \in X$. To get the same inequality with $\delta_{\pi_0, U}(r, s)$ replacing $\delta(r, s)$, notice that $q \circ r' = q \circ r$ and $q \circ s' = q \circ s$, for any $r' \in \widetilde{r}$ and $s' \in \widetilde{s}$.

$q \circ r = q \circ s$. In this case, both of the summands in the equation (20) will play a role. The first step is to show that r and s are strictly related:

Lemma 6.2. *Given two descent data r and s relative to a covering $\mathcal{U}' = \{U'_i\}_i$ of a complex space U, such that $q \circ r = q \circ s$, there is a refinement $\mathcal{U} = \{U_i\}_i \succeq \mathcal{U}'$ and collection of holomorphic maps $\phi_i : U_i \to X_1 = X \times_{\mathcal{Y}} X$ related to r and s by the equations $\partial_0 \circ \phi_i = r_i$ and $\partial_1 \circ \phi_i = s_i$.*

Proof. By definition of $Q(\mathcal{Y})$, there is a set theoretic map $h_i : U'_i \to X_1$ such that $\partial_0 \circ h_i = r_i$ and $\partial_1 \circ h_i = s_i$. By possibly refining $\mathcal{U}'$ to $\mathcal{U}$, we may suppose that

1) $r_i(U_i)$ is contained in a ∂_0 uniformly covered open subspace $V_i \subset X$ for each i and
2) each U_i is simply connected.

Let $\partial_0^{-1}(V_i) = \amalg_{k=1}^m W_{ik}$. Then we can partition U_i in N subsets $S_k = h_i^{-1}(W_{ik})$ and there exists an a such that $\overline{S_a} \subset U_i$ has an nonempty interior. Define $\phi_i : U_i \to W_{i,a} \subset X_1$ to be the ∂_0 lifting of r_i. Such a holomorphic map satisfies the equations $\partial_0 \circ \phi_i = r_i$ on U_i, by construction, and $\partial_1 \circ \phi_i = s_i$ on $\overline{S_a}$, hence over all U_i[6] □

Notice that the collection $(\phi_i)_i$ does not need to be an isomorphism between the descent data r and s, the classifying stacks $\mathcal{B}G$ providing an example of this.

Remark 6.1. We will need a sharpened version of the lemma just proved: by partitioning $U_i \cap U_j$, if such intersection is nonempty, we may assume that $\overline{S_a}$ is contained in $U_i \cap U_j$. Therefore it is possible to lift simultaneously r_i to $\phi_i : U_i \to W_{ia}$ and r_j to $\phi_j : U_j \to W_{ia}$, both ϕ_i and ϕ_j satisfying the properties of the lemma.

We will assume the covering $\mathcal{U}$ associated to the descent data r and s enjoys the properties stated in the previous lemma, whose notation we are going to share. There are yet two distinct cases to be considered. Recall that $A \subset X$ are is the subspace of q ramification points (see Section 5).

---

[6] given the property of such a closed subspace and that the equality is between holomorphic maps.

$V_i \cap A = \emptyset$. We may assume that $\partial_1(W_{ik_1}) \cap \partial_1(W_{ik_2}) = \emptyset$ if $k_1 \neq k_2$ (by possibly further refining $\mathcal{U}$). Denote by M the minimum of the distances between pairs of open subspaces $\partial_1(W_{ik})$, k ranging from 1 to m. We may assume $M > 0$, by shrinking V_i a little if necessary. Now, $r \not\sim s$, so that in particular $r \neq s$, and we may assume $r_i \neq s_i$ as holomorphic maps $U_i \to X$. Since $q \circ r = q \circ s$ and because of Lemma 6.2, we conclude that

$$\delta(r,s) \geq \sup_{u \in U_i}\left\{\mathrm{d}_X\left(r_i(u), s_i(u)\right)\right\} = \sup_{u \in U_i}\left\{\mathrm{d}_X(\partial_1 \circ \phi_i(u), \partial_1 \circ \phi_i(u))\right\} \geq M > 0$$

the same statement can be deduced for $\delta_{\pi_0,U}$ as the same argument applies for any $r' \sim r$ and $s' \sim s$ provided their associated open covering is $\mathcal{U}$ or finer.

$V_i \cap A \neq \emptyset$. In this case the number $\sup_{u \in U_i}\left\{\mathrm{d}_X\left(r_i(u), s_i(u)\right)\right\}$ could possibly be arbitrarly small. However, the only way for $\sup_{u \in U_{ij}}\left\{\mathrm{d}_{X_1}\left(f_{ij}(u), g_{ij}(u)\right)\right\}$ to be arbitrarly small is if $f_{ij}(U_{ij})$ and $g_{ij}(U_{ij})$ both belong to W_{ih} for some $1 \leq h \leq m$, since otherwise that number would be greater or equal than $M' = \min_{h_1 \neq h_2}\{\mathrm{d}_{X_1}(W_{ih_1}, W_{ih_2})\}$ which can be assumed to be strictly greater than zero. Let now fix i, j so that $U_i \cap U_j \neq \emptyset$ and consider the worst case scenario, i.e. $f_{ij}(U_{ij})$ and $g_{ij}(U_{ij})$ lying in the same W_{ih}. We claim that the pair (ϕ_i, ϕ_j) forms an isomorphism between $r_{|U_i \cap U_j}$ and $s_{|U_i \cup U_j}$. That being the case, since $r \not\sim s$, i.e. r is not isomorphic to s, there must be $U_{cd} \neq \emptyset$ such that $f_{cd}(U_{cd}) \subset W_{ih_1}$ and $g_{cd}(U_{cd}) \subset W_{ih_2}$ with $h_1 \neq h_2$. This implies that

$$\delta(r,s) \geq \sup_{\substack{u \in U_{ij} \\ i,j \in \mathbb{N}}}\left\{\mathrm{d}_{X_1}(f_{ij}(u), g_{ij}(u))\right\} \geq M' > 0$$

finishing the proof of the proposition.

In order to prove that the pair (ϕ_i, ϕ_j) is an isomorphism, it suffices to show that $m(f_{ij}, \phi_j) = m(\phi_i, g_{ij})$ seen as holomorphic maps defined on $U_i \cap U_j$ and with values in X_1. This statement follows from knowing that the images of $m(f_{ij}, \phi_j)$ and $m(\phi_i, g_{ij})$ lie in the same W_{ib}: indeed, this condition on the images implies that ∂_0 is injective when restricted these images and, since $\partial_0 \circ m(f_{ij}, \phi_j) = r_{i|U_i \cap U_j} = \partial_0 \circ m(\phi_i, g_{ij})$, we conclude that the map $m(f_{ij}, \phi_j)$ must necessarily be the same as $m(\phi_i, g_{ij})$. Showing that the images of $m(f_{ij}, \phi_j)$ and $m(\phi_i, g_{ij})$ belong to W_{ih} can be further reduced to the fact (see Remark 6.1) that the ones of ϕ_i and ϕ_j are both contained in W_{ia}. This follows by the continuity of m: we know that the images of f_{ij} and g_{ij} are in W_{ih} and, by the continuity of m we have that the maps $z \mapsto m(w_a, z)$ and $z \mapsto m(z, w_h)$, for $w_a \in W_{ia}$ and $w_h \in W_{ih}$, send W_{ih} and W_{ia}, respectively, to the same W_{ib}.

Consider now two classes $[(r, \phi)] \neq [(s, \psi)] \in \pi_1^{\mathrm{simpl}}(\mathcal{Y}, y)(U)$. These two classes being different, in particular it implies that $\widetilde{r} \neq \widetilde{s} \in \pi_0^{\mathrm{simpl}}(\mathcal{Y}, y)(U)$ (see Theorem 3.7). What already proved for $\pi_0^{\mathrm{simpl}}(\mathcal{Y},y)(U)$, shows that the $\delta(r,s)$ summand in the formula (22) is going to be nonzero, hence $\delta_{\pi_1,U}\big([(r,\phi)],[(s,\psi)]\big)>0$. □

6.2. **The function $c(\mathcal{Y},U)$ associated to a relative analytic disk.** Let U be a complex space and

$$\mathsf{F}=\mathsf{F}_{\mathcal{D}\times\mathcal{U}}=\big(F_{ai}:D_a\times U_i\to\mathbb{D}\times U, F_{aibj}:D_{ab}\times U_{ij}\to X_1\big)$$

be a relative analytic disk of $\mathcal{Y}$ on U. We associate a function $\mathbb{D}\to\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ to F as follows. Take $z\in\mathbb{D}$ and a vector $v\in T\mathbb{D}$, the holomorphic tangent bundle on $\mathbb{D}$, and consider $D_a\times U_i$ with $z\in D_a$. Then, denoting with $\mathrm{d}F_{ai}$ the differential of the holomorphic map F_{ai}, $\mathrm{d}F_{ai}(z,\xi)v$ is a vector field tangent to X along the points of the image of $(F_{ai})_{z\times U_i}$, ξ ranging in U_i. Since the maps ∂_0, ∂_1 and m are local isometries (see Subsection 6.1), by differentiating with respect of the variable z the structural equations of F, $(\star)$ and $(\star\star)$ of Subsection 3.1, we notice that the real number $|\mathrm{d}F_{ai}(z,\xi)v|:=q^*H(dF_{ai}(z,\xi)v)$ only depends on ξ,z,v and not on the open subspaces $D_a\times U_i$ [7], so $(z,\xi,v)\mapsto|\mathrm{d}F_{ai}(z,\xi)v|$ is a well defined real valued continuous function which will be occasionally written as $|\mathrm{d}\mathsf{F}(z,\xi)v|$. Moreover, for any $(z,\xi)\in D_{ab}\times U_{ij}$ we have that

$$|\mathrm{d}F_{ai,bj}(z,\xi)v|=|\mathrm{d}F_{ai}(z,\xi)v|. \tag{24}$$

If $K\subset U$ is a compact subset and $z\in\mathbb{D}$, we define

$$|\mathrm{d}\mathsf{F}(z)|_K=\sup_{\xi\in K}\sup_{\substack{v\in T_z\mathbb{D}\\ v\neq 0}}\frac{|\mathrm{d}\mathsf{F}(z,\xi)v|}{|v|_{\mathrm{hyp}}}, \tag{25}$$

where $|v|_{\mathrm{hyp}}$ is the length induced by the Poincaré metric on $\mathbb{D}$, and

$$c_K(\mathcal{Y};\mathcal{U})=\sup_{\substack{\mathsf{F}\in\mathcal{Y}(\mathcal{D}\times\mathcal{U})\\ \mathcal{D}\in\mathrm{Cov}\,\mathbb{D}}}\sup_{z\in\mathbb{D}}|\mathrm{d}\mathsf{F}(z)|_K. \tag{26}$$

Because of the transitivity of the action of $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{D})$ and the invariance of the Poincaré metric we conclude that

$$c_K(\mathcal{Y};\mathcal{U})=\sup_{\substack{\mathsf{F}\in\mathcal{Y}(\mathcal{D}\times\mathcal{U})\\ \mathcal{D}\in\mathrm{Cov}\,\mathbb{D}}}|\mathrm{d}\mathsf{F}(0)|_K. \tag{27}$$

We finally define

$$c\,(\mathcal{Y};U)=\sup_{\substack{K\Subset U\\ \mathcal{U}\in\mathrm{Cov}\,U}}c_K\,(\mathcal{Y};\mathcal{U})\,. \tag{28}$$

Since ∂_0 and ∂_1 are local isometries, if the relative analytic disk

$$\mathsf{G}=\mathsf{G}_{\mathcal{D}\times\mathcal{U}}=\big(G_{ai}:D_a\times U_i\to\mathbb{D}\times\mathbb{C}, G_{aibj}:D_{ab}\times U_{ij}\to X_1\big) \tag{29}$$

---

[7] We recall that H denotes a fixed length function on $Q(\mathcal{Y})$.

is equivalent to F, i.e. they have the same class in $\pi_0^{\mathrm{simpl}}(\mathcal{Y},y)(\mathbb{D}\times U)$, the functions $|\mathrm{d}\mathsf{F}(z,\xi)v|$ and $|\mathrm{d}\mathsf{G}(z,\xi)v|$ coincide.

Given a pair $[\mathsf{F},\Phi]$ representing a class of $\pi_1^{\mathrm{simpl}}(\mathcal{Y},y)(\mathbb{D}\times U)$, where F is a relative analytic disk on U, and $\Phi=\{\Phi_{ai}\}_{ai}$ is an automorphism of F (see Subsection 3.1), for each compact set $K\subset U$ we have well defined functions $|\mathrm{d}\mathsf{F}(z)|_K$ and $|\mathrm{d}\Phi(z)|_K$, as in equation (25). Keeping in mind the Theorem 3.7, we deduce that $|\mathrm{d}\mathsf{F}(z)|_K=|\mathrm{d}\Phi(z)|_K$. If $[\mathsf{G},\Psi]$ is a pair equivalent to $[\mathsf{F},\Phi]$, that is their images coincide in $\pi_1^{\mathrm{simpl}}(\mathcal{Y},y)(\mathbb{D}\times U)$, then

$$|\mathrm{d}\,\mathsf{F}(z)|_K=|\mathrm{d}\,\Phi(z)|_K=|\mathrm{d}\,\Psi(z)|_K=|\mathrm{d}\,\mathsf{G}(z)|_K. \tag{30}$$

Remark 6.2. Because of the last observations, the vanishing of the H-norm of the derivative of a descent data or of one of their isomorphisms is equivalent to their relevant classes in π_0^{simpl} or π_1^{simpl} being constant (cfr. Definition 3.8).

Under the same notation as in the Subsection 6.1, we prove the following fundamental

Lemma 6.3. *Let $\alpha_1,\alpha_2\in\pi_i^{simpl}(\mathcal{Y},y)(U)$, for $i=0,1$ and $\mathsf{C}_{\alpha_1,\alpha_2)}$ be an analytic chain through α_1 and α_2. Then*

$$\delta_{\pi_i,U}(\alpha_1,\alpha_2)\le 2\,c(\mathcal{Y};U)\,l(\mathsf{C}_{(\alpha_1,\alpha_2)}) \tag{31}$$

(see the equation (8) for the definition of length of an analytic chain). In particular,

$$\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{Kob}}(\alpha_1,\alpha_2)\ge\frac{\delta_{\pi_0,U}(\alpha_1,\alpha_2)}{2\,c(\mathcal{Y};U)}. \tag{32}$$

where the left end side has been defined in the equation (9).

Proof. It is sufficient to prove these statements for analytic disks instead of chains. Let $\alpha_1\ne\alpha_2\in\pi_0^{\mathrm{simpl}}(\mathcal{Y},y)(U)$ and $r=(r_i,f_{ij})\ne s=(s_i,g_{ij})$ be two descent data in α_1, α_2 respectively. Let $\mathsf{F}=\big(F_{ai},F_{aibj}\big)$ be an analytic disk such that $r=z_1^*\mathsf{F}$ and $s=z_2^*\mathsf{F}$ for z_1 and z_2 points which we initially suppose are in a D_a open of a covering of $\mathbb{D}$ associated to F. Using the notation introduced in Subsection 4.2, in particular we have that $F_{ai}(z_1,u)=r_{ai}(u)$ and $F_{ai}(z_2,u)=s_{ai}(u)$. Let $\gamma:[0,1]\to D_a$ a geodesic arc in $\mathbb{D}$, endowed by the Poincaré metric, such that $\gamma(0)=z_1$, $\gamma(1)=z_2$ and, for $u\in U$ fixed, we call γ_u the path $t\to F_{ai}(\gamma(t),u)$. For each $u\in U_i$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{d}_X(r_{ai}(u),s_{ai}(u))\le\mathrm{length}(\gamma_u)&=\int_0^1 H\left(\,\mathrm{d}F_{ai}(\gamma(t),u)\cdot\gamma'(t)\,\right)\mathrm{d}t\\ &\le\;c(\mathcal{Y};U)\int_0^1|\gamma'(t)|_{\mathrm{hyp}}\mathrm{d}t=c(\mathcal{Y};U)\varrho_{\mathbb{D}}(z_1,z_2).\end{aligned} \tag{33}$$

The same argument applies to the transition functions f_{aibj} and g_{aibj} and, using the remark in equation (24), we get

$$\begin{aligned}(34)\qquad \mathrm{d}_{X_1}(f_{aibj}(u), g_{aibj}(u)) \ &\leq \ \mathrm{length}(\,\gamma_u) = \int_0^1 H\left(\mathrm{d}F_{aibj}(\gamma(t), u)(\gamma'(t))\right)\mathrm{d}t \leq \\ &c(\mathcal{Y}; U)\int_0^1 |\gamma'(t)|_{\mathrm{hyp}}\mathrm{d}t = c(\mathcal{Y}; U)\varrho_{\mathbb{D}}(z_1, z_2).\end{aligned}$$

Taking the supremum with respect to u and the indices i, ai, we deduce from (33) and (34) that

$$\delta(r, s) \leq 2c(\mathcal{Y}; U)\varrho_{\mathbb{D}}(z_1, z_2)$$

which implies

$$\delta_{\pi_0, U}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) = \inf_{\substack{r\in\alpha\\ s\in\beta}}\delta(r, s) \leq 2c(\mathcal{Y}; U)\varrho_{\mathbb{D}}(z_1, z_2).$$

In the general case, when z_1 and z_2 do not belong to the same open D_a, we partition $\gamma([0, 1]) \subset \mathbb{D}$ with points $z_1 = \zeta_1 = \gamma(t_1), \zeta_2 = \gamma(t_2), \cdots, \zeta_m = z_2\gamma(1)$ so that the geodetic arcs $\gamma(\zeta_l, z_{l+1})$ are contained in $D_{a_0}, D_{a_1}, \cdots D_{a_{m-1}}$, respectively, for $0 \leq l \leq m-1$. Then we argue as before on each arc. This proves th equation (31).

The statement regarding $\pi_1^{\mathrm{simpl}}(\mathcal{Y}, y)(U)$ is proved in the same fashion and by using the equalities in (30). □

7. Kobayashi hyperbolicity implies Brody hyperbolicity

We can now proceed with the proof of the Brody theorem for stacks. Classically, that theorem refers to the implication "compactness and Brody hyperbolicity imply Kobayashi hyperbolicity", the other implication holding in general and simply a consequence of non Kobayashi hyperbolicity of $\mathbb{C}$ and that every holomorphic map is a contraction with respect to the Kobayashi presudodistance. In the context of stacks, even this simple implication, though not using much of the theory developed in the last sections, is not entirely obvious and this section is devoted to its proof.

Theorem 7.1. *Let $\mathcal{Y}$ be a Kobayashi hyperbolic analytic stack. Then $\mathcal{Y}$ is Brody hyperbolic.*

Proof. Suppose that $\pi_0^{\mathrm{simpl}}(\mathcal{Y}, y)$ is not Brody hyperbolic; then there exists a section $r \in \pi_0^{\mathrm{simpl}}(\mathcal{Y}, y)(\mathbb{C} \times U)$ not in the image of $p^* : \pi_0^{\mathrm{simpl}}(\mathcal{Y}, y)(U) \to \pi_0^{\mathrm{simpl}}(\mathcal{Y}, y)(\mathbb{C} \times U)$, p being the projection. Let us introduce the notation $r = (r_i(z, u), f_{ij}(z, u))$ over a covering $\{V\}_i$ of $\mathbb{C} \times U$; notice that we may take V_i to be of the kind $D_{k(i)} \times U_{\alpha(i)}$ for a disc $D_{k(i)}$ centered at some complex number $z \in \mathbb{C}$ and open set $U_{\alpha(i)}$ of U. We wish to construct two sections, or objects, $r_1 \ncong r_2 \in \mathrm{Ob}(\mathcal{C}[X.](V))$ for some complex space V, whose Kobayashi pseudodistance is zero. Take $V = \mathbb{C} \times U$ and consider the two sections: $r_1 = s$

and $r_2 = p^*(i_0^*(s))$, where $i_0 : U \to \mathbb{C} \times U$ is the embedding in zero. By assumption, $r_1 \ncong r_2$. To show that the Kobayashi pseudodistance between r_1 and r_2 is zero, we construct relative analytic chains (see Subsection 4.2) s_n^λ between them for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, where $\lambda \in \mathbb{D}_2 = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 2\}$. For each n, s_n^λ is, in fact, a relative analytic disc. We define first the descent data s_1^λ on $\mathbb{D}_2 \times \mathbb{C} \times U$; to describe the open covering we use its cross sections for $\lambda =$const as $t_{1/\lambda}(D_{k(i)}) \times U_{\alpha(i)}$, where $t_\omega : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$ is the automorphism $z \to \omega z$. For $\lambda = 0$ the open covering of $\mathbb{C} \times U$ is $\{\mathbb{C} \times U_{\alpha_0}\}_{\alpha_0}$, where $\mathcal{W} = \{U_{\alpha_0}\}_{\alpha_0}$ is the covering of U given by the open sets appearing in the covering of $\{0\} \times U$ induced by the V_i. The holomorphic map is $(\lambda, z, u) \mapsto r_i(t_{1/\lambda}^{-1}(z), u) = (\lambda z, u)$ for $z \in t_{1/\lambda}(D_{k(i)})$ and $u \in U_{\alpha(i)}$. The transition functions are similarly defined as $(\lambda, z, u) \mapsto f_{ij}(\lambda z, u)$. Notice that $s^1 = r_1$ and $s^0 = r_2$. The general relative analytic disc s_n^λ is associated to the open covering having open sets $\{t_{\frac{1}{n\lambda}}(D_{k(i)}) \times U_{\alpha(i)} : \lambda \in \mathbb{D}_2\}$ and holomorphic maps $r_i(n\lambda z, u)$ and $f_{ij}(n\lambda z, u)$ for $\lambda \in \mathbb{D}_2$, $z \in t_{\frac{1}{n\lambda}}(D_{k(i)})$ and $u \in U_{\alpha(i)}$. For each n, we have $s_n^{1/n} = r_1$ and $s_n^0 = r_2$, thus (see equation (9)

$$\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{Kob}}(r_1, r_2) \leq \rho_{\mathbb{D}_2}(1/n, 0) = \frac{1}{2} \log\left(\frac{2n+1}{2n-1}\right)$$

which tends to zero as n goes to infinity.

Consider now the case of $\pi_1^{\mathrm{simpl}}(\mathcal{Y}, y)$ not being Brody hyperbolic, thus there exists a section $\phi \in \pi_1^{\mathrm{simpl}}(\mathcal{Y}, y)(\mathbb{C} \times U)$ not in $p^*(\pi_1^{\mathrm{simpl}}(\mathcal{Y}, y)(U))$. We recall (see Subection 3.1) that, for a complex space V, the sections in $\pi_1^{\mathrm{simpl}}(\mathcal{Y}, y)(V)$ are represented by classes $[(\psi_i)_i]$ of automorphisms of some descent datum (r_i, f_{ij}). In particular, $\psi_i : V_i \to X \times_{\mathcal{Y}} X$ are holomorphic maps from open sets V_i of an open covering $\mathcal{V}$ of V, satisying certain identities. From the section ϕ just mentioned, we produce two different sections θ_1 and θ_2 in $\pi_1^{\mathrm{simpl}}(\mathcal{Y}, y)(\mathbb{C} \times U)$ as in the π_0^{simpl} case: $\theta_1 = \phi$ and $\theta_2 = p^*(i_0^*(\phi))$. Notice that θ_2 is an automorphism of a descent datum $r_2 \in \mathsf{Ob}(\mathcal{C}[X_.](\mathbb{C} \times U))$ which lies in $p^*(\mathsf{Ob}(\mathcal{C}[X_.](U)))$ and θ_1 is an automorphism of a descent data r_1 which may possibly be in the image of p^*, although θ_1 itself does not. However, it necessarily has to be $r_1 \neq r_2 \in \pi_0^{\mathrm{simpl}}$ given the hypothesis on ϕ. Keeping this in mind, to denote the descent data r_1 and r_2 we will use the notation already introduced during the discussion of the π_0^{simpl} case: $r_1 = (r_i(z, u), f_{ij}(z, u))$ and $r_2 = (r'_i(z, u), f'_{ij}(z, u))$, $r'_i(z, u) = r(0, u)$ and $f'_{ij}(z, u) = f_{ij}(0, u)$. We wish to find an analytic disc Ω relative to $\mathbb{C} \times U$ between θ_1 and θ_2. Define $\Omega : \mathbb{D}_2 \times (\mathbb{C} \times U) \to \pi_1^{\mathrm{simpl}}(\mathcal{Y}, y)$ by

$$\Omega(\delta, z, u) = \{(\Omega_k)_k \text{ such that } \Omega_k(\delta, z, u_k) = \phi_k(\delta z, u_k)\},$$

where $\phi = (\phi_k)_k$ and $\phi_k : W_k \to X_1$ are holomorphic maps defining the extension of ϕ over the open covering $\mathcal{W}$ of U, precedently introduced. For any fixed $\delta \in \mathbb{D}_2$, $m(\phi_h, f_{hk}^\delta)(z, u) = m(f_{hk}^\delta, \phi_k)(z, u)$, which shows that $\Omega_{|\{\delta\} \times \mathbb{C} \times U}$ is an automorphism of s^δ for any $\delta \in \mathbb{D}_2$ and Ω indeed induces an analytic disc in $\pi_1^{\mathrm{simpl}}(\mathcal{Y}, y)(\mathbb{C} \times U)$. Also,

$\Omega_{|\{0\}\times\mathbb{C}\times U} = \theta_1$ and $\Omega_{|\{1\}\times\mathbb{C}\times U} = \theta_2$ so that it is an analytic disc between θ_1 and θ_2. The sequence of analytic discs Ω^n relative to $\mathbb{C} \times U$ is now introduced in analogy with the π_0^{simpl} earlier discussion and the vanishing of the Kobayashi pseudodistance between θ_1 and θ_2 follows at once. □

8. Compactness and Brody hyperbolicity imply Kobayashi hyperbolicity

Brody and Kobayashi hyperbolicity of an analytic stack $p : X \to \mathcal{Y}$ are statements concerning the holotopy presheaves $\pi_0^{\mathrm{simpl}}(\mathcal{Y}, y)$ and $\pi_1^{\mathrm{simpl}}(\mathcal{Y}, y)$, as conceived in definitions 4.4 and 4.6. Surprisingly, it turns out that for DM compact analytic stacks, the Brody hyperbolicity content in the holotopy presheaf π_0^{simpl} absorbed the one of π_1^{simpl}, to the point of making the latter irrelevant.

Theorem 8.1. *Let $\mathcal{Y}$ be a compact, DM analytic stack. If $\pi_0^{simpl}(\mathcal{Y}, y)$ is Brody hyperbolic, then*

- i) *for any complex space U we have $c(\mathcal{Y}, U) < +\infty$;*
- ii) *$\pi_i^{simpl}(\mathcal{Y}, y)$ are Kobayashi hyperbolic, for $i = 0, 1$.*

Proof. The statement i) implies statement ii). Indeed, let $\alpha_1 \neq \alpha_2 \in \pi_i^{\mathrm{simpl}}(\mathcal{Y}, y)(U)$ admissible sections. From i) and Lemma 6.3, we have

$$\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{Kob}}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) \geq \frac{\delta_{\pi_i, U}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2)}{c(\mathcal{Y}; U)} > 0$$

hence $\pi_i^{\mathrm{simpl}}(\mathcal{Y}, y)(U)$ are Kobayashi hyperbolic. The rest of the section will be devoted to the proof of the first assertion, which will be split in few steps.

Assume by contraddiction that $c(\mathcal{Y}, U) = +\infty$ for some complex space U. Then there exists a sequence $\{\mathcal{U}_\nu\}_\nu$ of coverings of U associated to a sequence of relative analytic discs $\{\mathsf{F}^\nu\}_\nu$, i.e. descent data, over $\mathbb{D} \times U$ and points $\{u_\nu\}_\nu \subset U$ all with the property that $\lim_{\nu\to+\infty} |\mathrm{d}\mathsf{F}^\nu(0, u_\nu)| = +\infty$ (see equation (27)). The descent datum F^ν induces on $\mathbb{D} \times \{u_\nu\}$, hence on $\mathbb{D}$, consequently $\{\mathsf{F}^\nu\}_\nu$ induces on $\mathbb{D}$ a sequence of descent data which we will keep writing in the same way with the property that $\lim_{\nu\to+\infty} |\mathrm{d}\mathsf{F}^\nu| = +\infty$. This reduces the argument to $U = \{\mathsf{pt}\}$.

Lemma 8.2. *If $c(\mathcal{Y}, U) = +\infty$, then there is a sequence $\{f^\nu\}_\nu$ of holomorphic maps $f^\nu : \mathbb{D} \to Q(\mathcal{Y})$ (see Section 5) such that $\lim_{\nu\to+\infty} |\mathrm{d}f^\nu(0)| = +\infty$.*

Proof. There exists a commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathcal{C}[X.](U) \arrow[rr] \arrow[dr, "\phi"'] & & \pi_0(\mathcal{Y})(U) \arrow[dl, "\phi_Q"] \\
& \mathrm{Hol}(U, Q(\mathcal{Y})) &
\end{tikzcd} \tag{35}$$

where the application ϕ is defined by associating to a descent datum $r = (r_i, f_{ij})$ on $U = \cup_{i\in\mathbb{N}} U_i$ the holomorphic map $f_r : U \to Q(\mathcal{Y})$ defined for $u \in U_i$ as $f_r(u) = q(r_i(u))$, where $q : X \to Q(\mathcal{Y})$ denotes as usual the holomorphic projection. The map f^r is well defined since if $u \in U_i \cap U_j$, then $q(r_i(u)) = q(r_j(u))$, since there exists $w = f_{ij}(u) \in X \times_{\mathcal{Y}} X$ such that $\partial_0(w) = r_i(u)$ and $\partial_1(w) = r_j(u)$. If two descent data r and s represent the same class in $\pi_0^{\mathrm{simpl}}(\mathcal{Y}, y)(U)$, then $\phi(r) = \phi(s)$, thus there is a well defined application ϕ_Q making the diagram (35) commutative. In particular, to each relative analytic disc F on $\mathbb{D} \times U$ we have a holomorphic map $f := \phi(\mathsf{F}) : \mathbb{D} \times U \to Q(\mathcal{Y})$ and a real continuous function $|\mathrm{d}(f)(z)|$. From the very definition we have that $|\mathrm{d}\mathsf{F}(z)| = |\mathrm{d}(f(z))|$, thus the statement of the lemma follows at once by taking $f^\nu = \phi(\mathsf{F}^\nu)$. $\square$

Recall that $Q(\mathcal{Y})$ is a compact complex space because of Theorem 5.2. The sequence of the maps $f^\nu : \mathbb{D} \to Q(\mathcal{Y})$ may be reparametrized, by means of the "reparametrization Lemma" (cfr. [3]), to get a sequence of maps $\widetilde{f^\nu} : \mathbb{D}_\nu \to Q(\mathcal{Y})$, where $\mathbb{D}_\nu = \{|z| < \nu\}$ and $|\mathrm{d}\widetilde{f^\nu}(0)| = 1$ for all ν. By Ascoli-Arzelà Theorem, there exists a subsequence $\widetilde{f^\mu}$ uniformly convergent on compacts to a holomorphic map $f : \mathbb{C} \to Q(\mathcal{Y})$, which is not constant since $|\mathrm{d}f(0)| = 1$ (cfr. [3]). In the rest of the section, the reparametrized maps $\widetilde{f^\nu}$ will be denoted f^ν and the domain $\mathbb{D}_\nu$ will be specified to distinguish them from those defined on $\mathbb{D}$.

Recall (Section **??**) that A is the ramification locus of $q : X \to Q(\mathcal{Y})$ and $B = q(A) \subset Q(\mathcal{Y})$ is the branch locus. One of the following three cases necessarily occurs

Case 1) $f(\mathbb{C}) \cap B = \emptyset$;
Case 2) $f^{-1}(B)$ is a discrete set of points of $\mathbb{C}$;
Case 3) $f(\mathbb{C}) \subset B$.

We would like to "invert" the map ϕ, i.e. find an application ψ providing a descent datum in X associated to a holomorphic map $U \to Q(\mathcal{Y})$ such that $\phi \circ \psi = \mathsf{id}$. This property implies that if f is nonconstant, $\psi(f)$ is a nonconstant descent datum on $\mathbb{C}$ (see Definition 3.8) and $[\psi(f)] \in \pi_0^{\mathrm{simpl}}(\mathcal{Y}, y)(\mathbb{C})$ is a nonconstant class (see Remark 6.2).

We are going to consider the existsence of ψ in the above three cases separately, starting with the first.

8.1. **Case 1.**

Proposition 8.3. *Let $h : U \to Q(\mathcal{Y}) \smallsetminus B$ be a holomorphic map from a complex space U. Then, there is a descent datum $\psi(h)$ in X associated to h, such that $\phi(\psi(h)) = h$.*

Proof. Cover U with simply connected open subspaces U_i and lift the restrictions of h to continuous maps $h'_i : U_i \to X$. By Theorem 5.2, we know that $q : X \smallsetminus A \to Q(\mathcal{Y}) \smallsetminus B$ is a local biholomorphism, hence the h'_i are holomorphic maps. Let $U_i \cap U_j \neq \emptyset$; to relate

h'_i and h'_j on $U_{ij} = U_i \cap U_j$ we argue as follows. Since $h_{i|U_{ij}} = h_{j|U_{ij}}$, there exists a set theoretic map $\omega : U_{ij} \to X_1$ such that $\partial_0 \circ \omega = h'_{i|U_{ij}}$ and $\partial_1 \circ \omega = h'_{i|U_{ij}}$. Refining the covering $\{U_i\}_i$, if necessary, we can assume the U_i are relatively compact, U_{ij} are simply connected and $h'_i(U_{ij})$ are uniformly covered by ∂_0 so that $\partial_0^{-1} h'_i(U_{ij}) = \amalg_{k=1}^{l} V_k$ and $\partial_0 : V_k \to U_{ij}$ is a biholomorphism for any k. Consider first the case of a one dimensional complex space U. As the codomain of ω has a finite number of connected components, there is an index $a \leq n$ such that $\omega^{-1}(V_a) = L$ contains uncountably many points, hence there is an accumulation point among them. Call $f'_{ij} : U_{ij} \to V_a$ the ∂_0 lifting of $h'_{i|U_{ij}}$ to V_a; it coincides with ω on L since $\partial_{0|V_a}$ is injective. Thus, $\partial_0 \circ f'_{ij} = h'_{i|U_{ij}}$ on U_{ij}, $\partial_1 \circ f'_{ij} = h'_{j\,|U_{ij}}$ on L, hence on all U_{ij}. This shows that f'_{ij} is a transition function.

Let us assume inductively to be able to define the transition functions on each complex space U of dimension $n-1$ and consider the n dimensional. Let U_{ij} be embedded in $\mathbb{C}^N$ and consider the intersections $U_{ij} \cap H_\lambda$, H_λ ranging among all $(N-1)$ dimensional hyperplanes in $\mathbb{C}^N$. On each of these intersections we have transition functions with values in V_a where the index a may depend on λ, but being the possible indices finite, there are infinitely many μ such that $U_{ij} \cap H_\mu \neq \emptyset$ and whose transition functions have values in V_a for a fixed a. Call l_{ij} the holomorphic map on $L := \bigcup_\mu U_{ij} \cap H_\mu \to V_a$ defined by taking on each $U_{ij} \cap H_\mu$ the transition function on it; notice that l_{ij} is well defined since all the transition functions are ∂_0 liftings to V_a and $\partial_{0|V_a}$ is injective. Call now $f'_{ij} : U_{ij} \to V_a$ the ∂_0 lifting of $h_{i|U_{ij}}$ to V_a. As expected, $\partial_0 \circ f'_{ij} = h_{i|U_{ij}}$ and $\partial_1 \circ f'_{ij} = h_{j\,|U_{ij}}$ coincide, as functions defined on U_{ij}, on an analytic subspace containing L, but the only such a space is U_{ij} itself. A good candidate for the descent datum $\psi(h)$ could be (h'_i, f'_{ij}), which indeed looks very much like a descent datum on U, except that, in general, the f'_{ij} do not satisfy in general the cocyle condition $m(f'_{ij}, f'_{jk}) = f'_{ik}$ (see Definition 3.4), m being the multiplication of $[X.]$. The idea is the one of trying to replace f'_{ik} with $m(f'_{ij}, f'_{jk})$, the problem being that the latter is defined only on the triple intersection U_{ijk}.

Lemma 8.4. *Given a non constant holomorphic map $h : U \to Q(\mathcal{Y})$, refer to $h' : U_i \to X_1$ and $f'_{ij} : U_{ij} \to X_1$ as the holomorphic maps obtained by means of the above constructions. Then there exists a refinement $\{B_\alpha\}_\alpha$ of $\{U_i\}_i$ and transition functions $\{g_{\alpha\beta}\}_{\alpha\beta}$ such that, if B_i, $i = 1, 2, 3$ are open sets with nonempty triple intersection, for any chosen transition functions g_{12} and g_{23}, there exists a holomorphic map $g_{13} : B_{13} \to X_1$ satisfying the cocycle condition $m(g_{12}, g_{23}) = g_{13}$ on B_{123}.*

Proof. Denote the holomorphic map $m(f'_{12}, f'_{23}) : U_{123} \to X_1$ as l_{13}, choose points $u \in U_{123}$ and $p \in U_{13}$ and a path $\gamma : [0, 1] \to U_{13}$ connecting u to p. We would be done if $h'_1 \circ \gamma$ lifted to a $\tilde{\gamma}$ in $l_{13}(u) \in X_1^{13}$ where X_1^{13} is the connected component of X_1 containing the image of l_{13}, but this may not be necessarily the case since $h'_1(U_{13})$ might not be contained in $\partial_0(W_1^{13})$. The possibility of lifting such a map only depends on p, X and $\mathcal{Y}$, hence, using that $\partial_0 : X_1 \to X$ is a covering, we can refine $\{U_i\}_i$ to a covering $\{B_\alpha\}_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}}$ of U with the following properties:

1) $\{B_\alpha\}_\alpha$ is a locally finite refinement of $\{U_i\}_i$;
2) the intersections $B_{\alpha\beta}$ are simply connected;
3) if $\tau(\alpha)$ is less than the integers i such that $B_\alpha \subset U_i$, for each triple intersection $B_{\alpha\beta\gamma} \neq \emptyset$ with $\alpha < \beta < \gamma$, we have $h'_{\tau(\alpha)}(B_{\alpha\beta})$, $h'_{\tau(\alpha)}(B_{\beta\gamma})$ and $h'_{\tau(\alpha)}(B_{\alpha\gamma})$ are contained in the same ∂_0 uniformly covered open subspace of X.

We now create a descent datum r on U using the covering $\{B_\alpha\}_\alpha$ and the holomorphic maps h'_i, f'_{ij}. For each α define $r_\alpha = h'_{\tau(\alpha)|B_\alpha}$ and let the transition functions $g_{\alpha\beta} : B_{\alpha\beta} \to X_1$ be

$$g_{\alpha\beta} = \begin{cases} \mathrm{id}, & \text{se } B_\alpha \cup B_\beta \subset U_{\tau(\alpha)} \\ f'_{\tau(\alpha)\tau(\beta)}|_{B_\alpha\cap B_\beta}, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The third condition on the covering $\{B_\alpha\}_\alpha$ implies that, if $B_{\alpha\beta\gamma}$ is non empty, $h'_{\alpha|B_{\alpha\beta}}$ lifts to a holomorphic map $\tilde{h}_\alpha$ with image in the same connected component of X_1 as the one of the image of $m(g_{\alpha\beta}, g_{\beta\gamma})$. Since $\partial_0 \circ \tilde{h}_\alpha = \partial_0 \circ m(g_{\alpha\beta}, g_{\beta\gamma})$, by the injectivity of ∂_0 on that connected component, we conclude that $\tilde{h}_\alpha$ is an extension of $m(g_{\alpha\beta}, g_{\beta\gamma})$ to all $B_{\alpha\gamma}$ and it can be taken to be the transition function $g_{\alpha\gamma}$ satisfying the cocyle condition. □

A recursive argument can extend this construction to the quadruple and higher intersections, the only possible indeterminacy being in how to choose the transition functions: for instance, g_{14} could be the extension of $m(g_{12}, g_{24})$ or $m(g_{13}, g_{34})$. However, using the cocycle relations

1) $m(g_{12}, g_{23}) = g_{13}$,
2) $m(g_{23}, g_{34}) = g_{24}$

and assuming to have defined g_{14} as the extension of $m(g_{12}, g_{24})$, we check that it verifies the relation $g_{14} = m(g_{13}, g_{34})$, as well: from the first equation the left end side of the equation is $m(m(g_{12}, g_{23}), g_{34})$ and from the second relation we have that this is precisely $m(g_{12,}g_{24})$, which by definition is precisely g_{14}.

This finishes the construction of the descent data $\psi(h)$ associated to a holomorphic map $h : U \to Q(\mathcal{Y})$, hence of the application ψ and the proof of Proposition 8.3 and Case 1 are settled.

Remark 8.1. Notice that if the holomorphic map h is nonconstant, then all the liftings h'_i are nonconstant. Using results proved in Subsection 6.2, we see that we can check whether a section in $[(h'_i, h'_{ij})] \in \pi_0^{\mathrm{simpl}}(\mathcal{Y}, y)(\mathbb{C})$ is nonconstant or not, simply by showing that the real valued function $z \mapsto |\mathrm{d}h'_i(z)|$ is nonzero for $z \in \mathbb{C}$. It follows that, if h is nonconstant, so does $[(h'_i, h'_{ij})]$.

8.2. **Case 2.** Without loss of generality, we can assume that each connected component of the atlas $Z = \amalg_{i=1}^{N} Z^{(i)}$, containing X as a relatively compact open subspace, is Stein and that $X^{(i)} = \{\zeta \in Z^{(i)} : \phi_i(\zeta) < c\}$, where $\phi_i : Z^{(i)} \to \mathbb{R}$ is a strictly plurisubharmonic exaustion function for $Z^{(i)}$.

By assumption $f^{-1}(B)$ is a discrete subset S of C. We will first show that for each $\zeta \in S$ there is a disc Δ_ζ centered in ζ having the property that $f_{|\Delta^*_\zeta}$ lifts to a holomorphic map $\tilde{f}_\zeta : \Delta^*_\zeta \to X$, where Δ^*_ζ is the punctured disc $\Delta_\zeta \smallsetminus \{\zeta\}$. This follows if we prove that we can lift $f_{|\Delta^*_\zeta}$ to a continuous map in X which is equivalent to showing that

$$f_*\left(\pi_1(\Delta^*_\zeta, z)\right) \subset q_*\,\pi_1\left(X \smallsetminus A, x)\right) \subset \pi_1\left(Q(\mathcal{Y}) \smallsetminus B, q(x)\right) \tag{36}$$

where $q(x) = f(z)$. Let γ be a generator of $\pi_1(\mathbb{C} \smallsetminus S, z)$, i.e. a simple closed path around one of the points of $\zeta \in S$. Since the holomorphic maps $f^\nu = \psi(\mathsf{F}^\nu)$ converge to f uniformly on compact sets and $Q(\mathcal{Y})$ is locally topologically contractible, there exists an index μ such that

1. $f^\mu \circ \gamma$ is homotopic to $f \circ \gamma$ and $(f^\mu \circ \gamma)([0, 1]) \cap B = \emptyset$;
2. $\gamma([0, 1]) \subset \mathbb{D}_\mu$.

The map f^μ can be lifted to X if restricted to an appropriate puntured open disc Δ^*_ζ, Δ_ζ being a contractible open neighborhood of ζ in $\mathbb{C}$: just take the holomorphic map F^μ_i part of the descent datum $\mathsf{F}^\mu = (F^\mu_i, F^\mu_{ij})$, which is defined on $\gamma([0, 1])$. We can assume that γ is close enough to ζ to have image contained on some open set $U_i \ni \zeta$ associated to F^μ. Let Δ_ζ be such that $\gamma([0, 1]) \subset \Delta_\zeta \subset U_i$. Now consider the closed path $F^\nu_i \circ \gamma$ in X: by construction[8] it induces a homotopy class $[F^\nu_i \circ \gamma] \in \pi_1(X \smallsetminus A, x)$; since $q \circ (F^\nu_i \circ \gamma) = f^\nu \circ \gamma$ we have

$$[f \circ \gamma] = [f^\mu \circ \gamma] = q_*(\overline{F^\mu_i \circ \gamma}) \in \pi_1(Q(\mathcal{Y}), y)$$

which means that $f_*([\gamma])$ lies in $q_*\pi_1(X \smallsetminus A, x) \subset \pi_1(Q(\mathcal{Y}) \smallsetminus B, f(z))$, and so does $f_*\pi_1(\mathbb{C} \smallsetminus S, x)$. We can then lift $f_{\Delta^*_\zeta}$ to a holomorphic map $\widetilde{f_\zeta} : \Delta^*_\zeta \to X \smallsetminus A$, since q is unramified on $X \smallsetminus A$.

Take W to be an open subspace of $\mathbb{C} \smallsetminus S$ such that $\{W, \Delta^*_\zeta : \zeta \in S\}$ is an open covering of U. Since $f(W) \subset Q(\mathcal{Y}) \smallsetminus B$, by the Case 1 of the Theorem 8.3, $f_{|W}$ lifts to a descent datum $r = \psi(f_{|W})$. The datum r is extended to a descent datum on the whole $\mathbb{C} \smallsetminus S$ by using the liftings $\tilde{f}_\zeta$ as holomorphic maps on Δ^*_ζ and creating transition functions as shown in the construction of the application ψ in the unramified case. To further extend r to all of $\mathbb{C}$, we just have to extend the domain of the homolorphic maps $\widetilde{f_\zeta}$ from Δ^*_ζ to the whole Δ_ζ. Let $X^{(1)} \Subset Z^{(1)}$ be the connected components of the atlases X and Z containing the image of $\widetilde{f_\zeta}$. Embedding $Z^{(1)}$ as a closed analytic subspace in $\mathbb{C}^m$, the map $\widetilde{f_\zeta}$ is expressible in terms of m complex valued holomorphic functions $f_1, f_2, \cdots, f_m$ which are bounded given the compactness of $\overline{X}^{(1)}$, thus each of them extendable on Δ_ζ by Riemann Theorem. Call $\widehat{f_\zeta}$ the extension of $\widetilde{f_\zeta}$ to Δ_ζ. Suppose now that $\widehat{f_\zeta}(\zeta)$ is a point of the boundary of $X^{(1)}$ in $Z^{(1)}$, then the nonconstant plurisubharmonic function $\phi_1 \circ \hat{f}_\zeta$, where ϕ_1 is the exaustion function introduced before, has a maximum in ζ, which is absurd. We conclude that the image of $\widehat{f_\zeta}$ is contained in $X^{(1)}$ and the collection of the functions $\widehat{f_\zeta}$, along with the rest of the data in s, yields the sought extension of s to $\mathbb{C}$. Because $|\mathrm{d}f(0)| = 1$, f is nonconstant, therefore as pointed in Remark 8.1, the class of this descent datum in $\pi_0^{\mathrm{simpl}}(\mathcal{Y}, y)(\mathbb{C})$ is nonconstant, as well.

---

[8] and condition 1 on μ in particular.

8.3. **Case 3.** We assume now that $f(\mathbb{C}) \subset B$. Moreover, since q is quasi finite and B is analytic (Theorem 5.2), there exists a closed analytic subset B_1 of B such that $\dim_x(B_1) < \dim_x(B)$ for all $x \in B$ and q is a local biholomorphism from $A \smallsetminus A_1$ to $B \smallsetminus B_1$, with $A_1 = q^{-1}(B_1)$.

As before there are three possible cases: $f(\mathbb{C}) \cap B_1 = \emptyset$, $f^{-1}(B_1)$ is a discrete subset of $\mathbb{C}$ and $f(\mathbb{C}) \subset B_1$. If $f(\mathbb{C}) \cap B_1 = \emptyset$, then we lift f to the nonconstant descent datum $\psi(f)$ as in the Case 1 and prove that $\pi_0^{\mathrm{simpl}}(\mathcal{Y}, y)$ is not Brody hyperbolic. If $f(\mathbb{C}) \subset B_1$ then we repeat Case 3, but with all dimensions of the analytic spaces dropped, and recursively we will eventually end up with zero dimensional ramification, which is treated exactly as in the general case below, except being simpler. Consider the case of $f^{-1}(B_1) =: S_1$ being a discrete subset. Two things can happen: there exists an index N such that for all $\nu > N$, $f^n(\mathbb{C}) \subset B$ which is treated exactly as in the Case 2. Otherwise, we proceed with few reduction steps. As usual we denote $F^\nu_{i(\nu)}$ a holomorphic map of the descent datum F^ν on some disk, such that $\phi(\mathsf{F}^\nu) = f^\nu$. By the compactness of $\mathcal{Y}$ we may assume X had only a finite number of connected components (see beginning of Section **??**), hence, for a $\zeta \in S_1$, there is a punctured disk $\Delta^*_\zeta \subset \mathbb{C} \smallsetminus S_1$ and an index k such that $F^j_{i(j)}(\Delta^*_\zeta) \subset X^{(k)}$ for infinitely many j. Considering only those indices j we may assume all the images of Δ^*_ζ through the sequence of functions $\{F^\nu_{i(\nu)}\}_n$ lie in the same connected component. Using again the compactness of $\mathcal{Y}$, we may suppose that the sequence of points $\{F^\nu_{i(n)}(\zeta)\}_n$ converges to a point $a_1 \in X^{(k)}$: otherwise it must necessarily converge to a point a_1 on the boundary of $X^{(k)}$ in $Z^{(k)}$ and $q^{-1}(q(a_1))$ has a point in the interior of another connected component $X^{(h)}$ of X, for which all the statements regarding $X^{(k)}$ hold as well. As far as our argument is concerned, we then replace $X^{(k)}$ with $X^{(h)}$. Let U_{a_1} be an open neighborhood of a_1 such that the closure $\overline{U_{a_1}} \subset X^{(i)}$. Then

there is a closed simple path γ in $\mathbb{C} \smallsetminus S_1$ around ζ and close enough to it for

$$F^\nu_{i(\nu)}(\gamma([0,1])) \subset U_{a_1}$$

for all $\nu \geq N \gg 0$.

Indeed, as in Subsection 6.1, let H be a distance on $Q(\mathcal{Y})$ and q^*H its pullback on X; set d to be a positive real number such that the set of points in $X^{(i)}$ whose d_{q^*H} distance from a_1 is less than d is contained in U_{a_1}. Choose a closed simple path γ as in the statement, in a way that $\mathrm{d}_H(f(\gamma([0,1])), f(\zeta)) = d/2$. Then there exists N such that $\mathrm{d}_{q^*H}(F^n_{i(n)}(\gamma([0,1])), a_1) \leq d$ for all $n \geq N$, since $q(a_1) = f(\zeta)$ and q is a contraction with respect to the length functions q^*H and H.

Replacing X with $\overline{U_{a_1}}$, we can consider the following simplified new situation: X is a connected, compact topological space, $Q(\mathcal{Y})$ compact, A, A_1, B and B_1 all compact subspaces.

Give X a structure of CW complex with finitely many cells such that A and A_1 are sub CW complexes. Let $l = \mathrm{d}_H(f(\gamma([0,1])), B_1)$; by possibly refining the cell structure we may take the q^*H-sizes of the cells to be of dimension ranging between $l/4$ and $l/2$. Call V_A the sub CW complex $A \subset V_A \subset X$ made of cells e_i having nonempty intersection with A.

1) Since the sizes $\mathrm{d}_{q^*H}(e_i) \geq l/4$ for all i, and $f(\gamma([0,1])) \subset B$, there exists an N such that $F^n_{i(n)}(\gamma([0,1])) \subset V_A$ for all $n \geq N$;
2) because $\mathrm{d}_{q^*H}(e_i) \leq l/2$, there exists $M \geq N$ such that, if e_j are the cells of V_A with non empty intersection with the image of $F^\nu_{i(\nu)} \circ \gamma$ for any of the $\nu \geq M$, then $e_j \cap A_1 = \emptyset$.

Finally, let $R \geq M$ with the property that $\mathrm{d}_{q^*H}(F^\nu_{i(\nu)}(\gamma([0,1])), A) < l/8$. Then we can remove appropriately chosen points $p_1, \cdots, p_k$ from the interiors of some cells in V_A to obtain a topological space V'_A with a deformation retract $r : V'_A \to A$ such that $r(F^R_{i(R)}(\gamma([0,1]))) \subset A \setminus A_1$.

Call γ' the closed path $r \circ F^R_{i(R)} \circ \gamma$. Then we have that

$$[f \circ \gamma] = q_*(F^R_{i(R)} \circ \gamma) = q_*(\gamma') \in \pi_1(A \setminus A_1, a)$$

thus f lifts to A on a small punctured disc Δ^*_ζ, being $[f \circ \gamma]$ in the image of

$$q_{|A \setminus A_1 *} : \pi_1(A \setminus A_1, a) \to \pi_1(B \setminus B_1, q(a))$$

and $q_{|A \setminus A_1}$ being a topological covering. As in the Case 2, we lift $f_{|\mathbb{C} \setminus S_1}$ to X (actually to A) and then extent the local lifting $\widetilde{f_\zeta}$ defined on the puntctured disk Δ^*_ζ to Δ_ζ. This argument produces a nonconstant descent datum in $\pi_0^{\mathrm{simpl}}(\mathcal{Y}, y)(\mathbb{C})$.

This concludes the proof of the part "$\pi_0^{\mathrm{simpl}}(\mathcal{Y}, y)$ Brody hyperbolic implies $\pi_0^{\mathrm{simpl}}(\mathcal{Y}, y)$ Kobayashi hyperbolic" part of the Theorem 8.1. It remains to be shown that, if $\pi_0^{\mathrm{simpl}}(\mathcal{Y}, y)$ is Brody hyperbolic, then $\pi_1^{\mathrm{simpl}}(\mathcal{Y}, y)$ is Kobayashi hyperbolic. However, by the proof so far written, we see that the Brody hyperbolicity implies that $c(\mathcal{Y}, y) < +\infty$; by Lemma 6.3, both of $\pi_0^{\text{simpl}}(\mathcal{Y}, y)$ and $\pi_1^{\text{simpl}}(\mathcal{Y}, y)$ are Kobayashi hyperbolic. □

The proof of the Theorem 8.1 has highlighted the connection between hyperbolicity of $Q(\mathcal{Y})$ as a complex space and $\mathcal{Y}$ as DM analytic stack.

Corollary 8.5. *Let $X \to \mathcal{Y}$ be a compact DM analytic stack. Then*

1) *if $Q(\mathcal{Y})$ is hyperbolic $\mathcal{Y}$ is hyperbolic;*
2) *$\mathcal{Y}$ is hyperbolic if and only if the presheaf $\pi_0^{simpl}(\mathcal{Y}, y)$ is hyperbolic if and only if $\mathsf{Ob}(\mathcal{C}[X.])(-)$ is an hyperbolic presheaf.*

REFERENCES

[1] Borghesi S. and Tomassini G., *Brody hyperbolicity and homotopy*, Rend. Lincei Mat. Appl. **21** (2010), 287-297.

[2] ———, *Extended hyperbolicity*, Annali di Matematica Pura ed Applicata **190** (2011), no. 3, 371-394.

[3] Brody R., *Compact manifolds and hyperbolicity*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **235** (1978), 213-219.

[4] Brunella M., *Courbes entières dans les surfaces algébriques*, Séminaire N. Bourbaki **exp.** n^o. **881** (1978), 39-61.

[5] Cartan H., *Quotients of complex analytic spaces*, Internat. Colloq. Function Theory, Bombay,1960, 1-15.

[6] Dugger D., Hollander S., and Isaksen D., *Hypercovers and simplicial presheaves*, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc., n^o 1 **136** (2004), 9-51.

[7] Hirschhorn P., *Model categories and their localizations,* Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, 99. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2003.

[8] Hollander S., *A homotopy theory for stacks*, Israel J. Math. **163** (2008), 93-124.

[9] Keel S. and Mori S., *Quotients by groupoids*, Ann. of Math. (2) 145, n^0. 1 **163** (1997), 193-213.

[10] Kobayashi S., *Hyperbolic complex spaces,* Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, 318. Springer Verlag, Berlin, 1998.

[11] Laumon G. and Moret-Bailly L., *Champs algébriques,* Ergeb. Math. Grenzgeb. (3), 39, Springer-Verlag, 2000.

[12] Morel F. and Voevodsky V., $\mathbb{A}^1$ *homotopy theory of schemes*, Publ. Math. IHES **90** (1999), 45-143.

UNIVERSITÀ DEGLI STUDI DI MILANO - BICOCCA - VIA COZZI, 53 - 20125 MILANO, ITALY
E-mail address: `simone.borghesi@unimib.it`

SCUOLA NORMALE SUPERIORE, PIAZZA DEI CAVALIERI, 7 - I-56126 PISA, ITALY
E-mail address: `g.tomassini@sns.it`